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ABSTRACT
Introduction: Upper and lower respiratory diseases are closely related which has been well documented in literature. Even though a relationship between upper and lower airway disease has been described, altered pulmonary function in patients with upper airway diseases is still not fully understood. The present study was conducted to assess the effect of chronic nasal obstruction on pulmonary function and to compare the pulmonary function in same patients after surgical relief of nasal obstruction.

Material and methods: The present study was carried out in the Department of ENT and Head and Neck Surgery and Department of Respiratory Medicine, Rohilkhand Medical College and Hospital, Bareilly between November 2017 to October 2018. 56 patients with chronic nasal obstruction due to either deviated nasal septum or chronic rhinosinusitis with or without nasal polyp underwent pulmonary function testing pre and post-operatively at 6 weeks and results were compared.

Results: The most common age group affected was less than thirty years accounting for more than 50%. Mean age of total sample size was 24.2 ± 6.98 years. Thirty three (58.9%) patients were males while 23(41.07%) patients were females. Out of 56 subjects, 34(60.71%) patients underwent septoplasty, while 22(39.28%) patients underwent functional endoscopic sinus surgery. Clinically and statistically significant improvement in FEV₁ and FVC was observed irrespective of age, gender or duration of obstruction.

Conclusion: Patients with bilateral nasal obstruction had worse pulmonary function initially and more significant improvement after surgery, suggesting an association of bilateral obstruction with bad prognosis.
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INTRODUCTION
Narrowing of the nasal cavity due to anatomical or physiological reasons leads to insufficient airflow through the nose and reduces the breathing capacity. Chronic nasal obstruction can occur due to septal deformity, turbinate hypertrophy, nasal polyps, foreign body or rarely neoplasms either benign or malignant. Other causes include inflammatory pathologies, such as chronic rhinosinusitis which is a persistent inflammatory response of mucous membranes of nasal cavity and paranasal sinuses caused by a disturbance of ventilation and drainage of the nasal cavity and paranasal sinuses.¹

Upper and lower respiratory diseases are closely related which has been well documented in literature. Even though a relationship between upper and lower airway disease has been described, altered pulmonary function in patients with upper airway diseases is still not fully understood. The alteration of normal physiology of nose affect pulmonary function significantly thus relationship between upper and lower airways cannot be neglected.

Spirometry is the measure of air moving in and out of lungs during various respiratory manoeuvres. Various parameters obtained from Spirometry give important information about pulmonary function and also how well the gaseous exchange is occurring. Parameters used for this study include Forced Vital Capacity (FVC), Forced Expiratory Volume in 1 second (FEV₁) and FEV₁/FVC.²

The present study has been carried out to assess the improvement in Pulmonary Function after the correction of the cause of Nasal Obstruction.

The present study was conducted to assess the effect of chronic nasal obstruction on pulmonary function and to compare the pulmonary function in same patients after surgical relief of nasal obstruction.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
This study was carried out from November 2017 to October 2018 in the Department of Otorhinolaryngology and Head & Neck Surgery and Respiratory Medicine, Rohilkhand Medical College and Hospital, Bareilly, a tertiary care teaching hospital in western Uttar Pradesh.

All patients of chronic nasal obstruction due to either septal deviation or chronic rhinosinusitis attending the Otorhinolaryngology and Head & Neck Surgery department of Rohilkhand Medical College & Hospital, Bareilly in the stipulated period fulfilling the inclusion criteria were enrolled for the study.

Patients with Chronic nasal obstruction due to to either septal deviation or chronic rhinosinusitis between 18 and 50
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years of age, willing for surgery and follow up were included in the study. Patients not relieved of nasal obstruction after surgery and with previous history of nasal surgery were excluded from the study.

A thorough ENT examination with appropriate investigations was done as required. A detailed nasal examination including diagnostic nasal endoscopy and CT scan was also done if indicated. Patients with chronic nasal obstruction due to either septal deviation or chronic rhinosinusitis were diagnosed after thorough evaluation. All the cases underwent spirometry pre-operatively. Pre-operative spirometry was done one day prior to the surgery.

As per the pathology, appropriate surgery was performed either Septoplasty or Functional Endoscopic Sinus Surgery (FESS) under local or general anaesthesia conventionally. Post-operative spirometry was done after 6 weeks of surgery and the results were compared.

The method and purpose of spirometry was explained to the patient. It was ensured that the patient exhaled for at least 6 seconds during the PFT. The procedure was repeated until 3 readings of which at least 2 of the FVC and FEV₁ components were reproducible. Following parameters were assessed.

1. FVC
2. FEV₁
3. FEV₁/FVC

The values of PFT were considered to indicate significant obstruction when FEV₁ was <80% and FEV₁/FVC was <0.8 of the predicted value for a patient’s age, weight and height.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

The post-operative data was collected, tabulated and statistically analysed using SPSS version 22 and paired t test.

**RESULTS**

The study consisted of 56 patients with chronic nasal obstructions due to either septal deviation or chronic rhinosinusitis with or without polyposis who fulfilled the inclusion criteria and had undergone septoplasty and functional endoscopic sinus surgery respectively.

34 (60.71%) patients underwent septoplasty, 22 (39.28%) patients underwent FESS. Pre-operative and post-operative pulmonary function tests were done at 6 weeks after surgery.

The most common age group affected was less than thirty years accounting for more than 50%, with a mean age of 24.2 ± 6.98 years.

A statistically significant improvement in FEV₁ and FVC was found in age groups below 20 years, 21 to 30 years and above 40 years but not in age group 31 to 40 years, which showed only clinical improvement. (Table 1)

Though maximum gain in pulmonary function was observed in patients below 20 years of age, the difference in the pulmonary functions between different age groups which was calculated using paired t test was found not to be statistically significant.

The mean duration of nasal obstruction was 3.8 ± 3.13 years. Majority patients 25 (44.64%) had less than 2 years of nasal obstruction followed by 16 (28.57%) patients who had nasal obstruction between 2-4 years. (Table 2)

In patients with duration of obstruction less than 4 years a statistically significant improvement in value of FEV₁% and FVC% was observed. (Table 3)

Patients with bilateral nasal obstruction had worse pulmonary function pre-operatively and relative gain was more in this group. In both set of patients with unilateral and bilateral nasal obstruction, the postoperative values of FEV₁% (p<0.004) and FVC% (p<0.001) were higher than preoperative values and these results were found to be statistically significant except for FEV₁/FVC also the difference in pulmonary functions between both the groups for FVC, FEV₁ and FEV₁/FVC were, found to be more for bilateral nasal obstruction calculated using paired t test. (Table 4)

There was a significant improvement in the postoperative values of FEV₁%(p<0.001) and FVC%(p<0.001) but not for FEV₁/FVC%. (Table 5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration of obstruction</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-2YRS</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>44.64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-4YRS</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>28.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-6YRS</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-8YRS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-10YRS</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10YRS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.57%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table-1:** Age distribution in relation to pulmonary function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration of obstruction</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>30.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;40</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table-2:** Duration of nasal obstruction
DISCUSSION

The upper and lower airway diseases coexist and one is responsible for the other. This has been documented in literature. The nasal cavity is often neglected in physiological studies on pulmonary function.

In a study conducted by Tuzuner et al., the mean age of 30 patients in their study was 33.4±10.9 years. The mean age of patients in a study by Karuthedath et al. was 33.20±20.38 years and the commonest age group affected in their study belonged to the group 31-40 years. Karaman et al. in their study found the mean age to be 32 years. In our study the maximum number of patients fell in the age group 21-30 years with mean age being 24.2±6.98 years, which is in accordance with the above mentioned studies. We also found statistically significant improvement in postoperative values of FEV1 % and FVC% in all age groups with a maximum gain in pulmonary function was observed in patients below 20 years but the difference compared with other age groups was not clinically significant which may be explained by early presentation in younger age group and better pulmonary mechanics compared to older patients.

Statistically significant improvement was found in pulmonary function in all groups but we also found that patients with shorter duration of nasal obstruction showed more improvement in pulmonary function after surgery. This may be clinically significant but the difference in pulmonary functions between the groups was not statistically significant. Therefore it is suggested that the duration of disease doesn’t seem to affect the improvement in pulmonary function after surgery. No other studies were found which showed any association between duration of obstruction with improvement of pulmonary function after surgery.

In this study, 22 (39.28%) patients were diagnosed to have chronic rhinosinusitis. 12 (21.42%) had bilateral antro-choanal polyp, 8 (14.28%) had bilateral ethmoidal polyps while 2 (3.57%) had chronic rhinosinusitis without nasal polyp.

Patients with chronic rhinosinusitis had worse pulmonary function pre-operatively compared to patients with septal deviation. It may be explained by only anatomical changes in septal deviation to anatomical as well as physiological changes in the respiratory mucosa occurring in chronic rhinosinusitis. In a study by Kariya et al. pulmonary function was measured in 68 (23.2%) patients with chronic rhinosinusitis without nasal polyps, 89 (30.4%) patients with allergic rhinitis, 135 (46.2%) patients with chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps and 100 normal controls. They found that pulmonary function of patients with chronic rhinosinusitis was significantly affected thus indicating latent obstructive lung function changes in chronic rhinosinusitis patients which supported our findings.

In the present study, 46(82.14%) patients had unilateral nasal obstruction, while 10(17.85%) patients had bilateral nasal obstruction. It was observed that there was significant improvement in FVC% and FEV1% in all groups but mean improvement in FEV1% and FVC% was more in bilateral nasal obstruction than unilateral nasal obstruction. This difference in improvement of pulmonary functions between the groups for FVC, FEV1, and FEV1/FVC was statistically significant.

In this study, it was observed that there was statistically significant improvement in pulmonary function irrespective of age, laterality of nasal obstruction and duration of obstruction or after surgical correction.

Preoperatively 34(60.71%) patients had abnormal pulmonary function, while 22(39.28%) patients were found to have normal pulmonary function.

There was a statistically significant improvement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unilateral</th>
<th>Bilateral</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1</td>
<td>81.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC</td>
<td>74.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1/FVC</td>
<td>110.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: U/L vs B/L nasal obstruction in relation to pulmonary function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre OP</th>
<th>Post OP</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AVG FEV1</td>
<td>75.80</td>
<td>84.40</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVG FVC</td>
<td>70.90</td>
<td>81.80</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVG FEV1/FVC</td>
<td>108.20</td>
<td>99.20</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: FEV1, FVC and FEV1/FVC values pre and post operatively
A4

in the postoperative values of FEV\(_1\)% (p<0.001) and FVC%(p<0.001) but not for FEV\(_1\)/FVC%, compared to preoperative values. The result is in accordance with the studies done by Tuzuner et. al\(^3\), Karaman et. al\(^5\), Bulcun et. al\(^7\), and Kurkcucoglu et. al\(^8\) all of whom also found that postoperative FEV\(_1\) and FVC were significantly higher than preoperative values after septoplasty in their respective studies. Karuthedath et. al\(^4\) and Elmonem et al.\(^9\) studied the effect of FESS on pulmonary functions in patients of CRS and found marked improvement in post-operative Pulmonary functions. Sonkhya N et al.\(^10\) in a study compared improvement of FEV\(_1\) in patients undergoing FESS for chronic rhinosinusitis with polyposis and asthma (25 cases) and patients who refused surgery and were kept on medical management in the control group. They observed that FEV\(_1\) improved in the study group while it was same or decreased in the control group. This is in accordance with the findings of our study, where we have also found a significant improvement in FEV\(_1\) and FVC post-operatively. Thereby concluding that, relief of nasal obstruction has a positive effect on pulmonary function. The improvement in pulmonary function signified a decrease in bronchial hyper-responsiveness as compared to the preoperative condition. Thus comparing our study with others it was found that changes in the upper airways adversely affects the lower airways as well. Also that surgical correction of chronic nasal obstruction not only improves the quality of life but also prevents permanent changes that may occur in the lower airways as a consequence of chronic nasal obstruction.

**CONCLUSION**

Chronic nasal obstruction has been attributed to cause changes in the respiratory mechanisms. Altered pulmonary function leads to dysfunction of the respiratory system and thus affecting the functions of other related systems. Surgical correction of chronic nasal obstruction leads to a favourable outcome in pulmonary function which was corroborated clinically and statistically. On comparing unilateral versus bilateral nasal obstruction it was found that patients with bilateral nasal obstruction had worse pulmonary function and more improvement after surgery. Age or duration of nasal obstruction didn’t seem to play statistically significant role in improvement of pulmonary functions after surgery.
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