Prevalence of Diabetes and Associated Conditions in Women Coming for Delivery in a Tertiary Care Centre of Kumaon Region of Uttarakhand

Mahima Rani¹, Latika Pant²

ABSTRACT

Introdution: Diabetes in pregnancy either gestational or type 1 or 2 is associated with morbidity for both the mother and fetus. It can lead to a lot of complications during antenatal, peripartum and postpartum period. Increased rate of LSCS, macrosomic fetus, difficulties during delivery (e.g. obstructed labour, shoulder dystocia), congenital anomalies in the baby, stillbirth are very frequently associated with diabetes. This Study was conducted to analyze the prevalence of diabetes (either Gestational or Pre-Gestational) and associated conditions and draw a comparative evaluation in women coming for delivery in the labour room of Govt. Medical college, Haldwani, Uttarakhand.

Material and methods: All the women who came for delivery during the period from January 2017 to December 2018 were tested for blood sugar regardless of whether they are follow up patients or coming for the first time and also regardless of their antenatal history. After detailed history and examination GCT with 75gm glucose was done. Depending on the 2-hour glucose level and history, diagnosis of GDM or Pre- Gestational diabetes were made.

Result: During the study period total 8228 deliveries occurred in the labour room of Govt. Medical college, Haldwani, Uttarakhand. Of all these women 24(0.3%) were diagnosed with GDM or DM by the history and tests conducted at the time of admission. Of the 24 patients of diabetes 4(16.7%) were associated with Pregnancy induced hypertension, 6(25%) were associated with hypothyroidism and 10(41.7%) had no associated condition. 9(36.7%) patients of the 24 delivered before 36 week of gestational age and 15(73.7%) delivered at gestational age of more than 36 week. The mode of delivery was LSCS in 19(79.16%) patients of the total 24 patients.

Conclusion: Any form of diabetes either Gestational or Pre-Gestational is a major cause of increased morbidity and mortality in pregnancy for both mother and fetus. Diabetes can develop any time during pregnancy and so there are chance of missing on the diagnosis of diabetes by mere one time testing of blood sugar. So it is very necessary to keep repeating the sugar testing (glucose challange test) in a pregnant women at a significant time interval. Even in women coming for delivery irrespective of the history a blood sugar testing should be done not only for diagnosis, but also for assessing the degree of insulin resistance.

Keywords: Diabetes and Associated Conditions

INTRODUCTION

India has a very high rate of prevalence of diabetes as every fifth diabetic in the world is an Indian.¹ So India can be called the diabetic capital of the world. As pregnancy is itself

a risk factor for diabetes, so the pregnant women are at even greater risk for developing diabetes. Gestational Diabetes Mellitus (GDM) is a form of hyperglycemia. Similar to other form of hyperglycemia, GDM is a disease of the pancreatic β cells, which do not produce sufficient insulin to meet the increased requirements of late pregnancy. Traditionally, it has been thought and taught that GDM develops when β cells fail to keep pace with the increasing insulin resistance that occurs during the second half of pregnancy. The resultant increasing imbalance between insulin demand and supply manifests itself as rising glucose levels, especially during the second half of pregnancy when insulin resistance is the greatest. In this scenario, glucose regulation returns to normal postpartum, only to resurface years later as impaired glucose levels and diabetes mellitus, usually T2DM.

Prevalence in pregnancy is as high as 16.55%.² Diabetes in pregnancy can be divided in two categories: overt or pre-existing diabetes (type 1 and type 2) and Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. GDM is defined as glucose in tolerance with onset or first recognition during pregnancy.3 GDM if not managed can lead to various complications like preeclampsia, macrosomia, increased incidence of C- section, complications during delivery like shoulder dystocia, increased risk of birth injuries. Overweight and obesity are known risk factors for diabetes.4 In case of GDM two generations are at risk of developing Diabetes in the futureboth mother and the baby.⁵ International Diabetes Federation (IDF) had given data that 20.9 million or 16.2% of live births to women in 2015 had some form of hyperglycemia in pregnancy and 85.1% of these cases were due to GDM.6 Since India is one of the at risk countries for diabetes during pregnancy, a universal screening is adopted in our country. Therefore, it is very important to assess how successful we are in preventing the adverse effects of diabetes. It is also important to assess the epidemiological differences in

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Obs & Gyne, Government Medical College, Haldwani, Uttarakhand, ²Consultant, Department of Obs & Gyne, Haldwani, Uttarakhand, India

Corresponding author: Mahima Rani, Assistant Professor, Department of Obs & Gyne, Government Medical College, Haldwani, Uttarakhand, India

How to cite this article: Mahima Rani, Latika Pant. Prevalence of diabetes and associated conditions in women coming for delivery in a tertiary care centre of kumaon region of Uttarakhand. International Journal of Contemporary Medical Research 2020;7(1):A5-A7.

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.21276/ijcmr.2020.7.1.12



prevalence. In this study we assess the prevalence of diabetes and associated morbidities in women coming for delivery in a tertiary care centre in kumaon region of Uttarakhand.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was conducted during the period from January 2017 to December 2018 in the labor room of Dept. of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Govt. Medical College, Haldwani, Uttarakhand. All the women who delivered during this period were included in the study. A detailed history and examination of these patients was done and also their antenatal records analyzed to find out whether they are a known case of Diabetes mellitus or GDM. In all of these women regardless of the fact whether they are follow up patients or coming for the for the first time with no antenatal records or those with no record of blood sugar level, GCT (Glucose Challenge Test) was done, as it is a one step screening and diagnostic procedure. For GCT the patients' were asked to consume 75gm anhydrous glucose powder dissolved in 250 ml water within 5 minutes irrespective of the status of last meal. GDM was diagnosed if 2-h glucose concentration was more than 140 mg/dl. If blood sugar was more than 200 mg/dl diagnosis of overt or pre-gestational or overt diabetes was made. All the old and newly diagnosed patients of DM and GDM were managed accordingly.

RESULTS

An analysis of the history, examination and tests of the women coming for delivery in the labour room during the period from January 2017 to December 2018 showed results that were properly calculated and compared. During this period total 8228 deliveries occurred in the labour room of Govt. Medical college, Haldwani, Uttarakhand. Of all these women 24(0.3%) were diagnosed with GDM or DM by the history and tests conducted at the time of admission.

Of the 24 patients of diabetes 4(16.7%) were associated with Pregnancy induced hypertension, 6(25%) were associated with hypothyroidism and 10(41.7%) had no associated condition. 9(36.7%) patients of the 24 delivered before 36 week of gestational age and 15(73.7%) delivered at gestational age of more than 36 week. The mode of delivery was LSCS in 19(79.16%) patients of the total 24 patients.

DISCUSSION

In the United States of America, prevalence of both preexisting and gestational diabetes increased from 2000 to 2010.^{7,8} In 2016, the crude national prevalence of preexisting diabetes among women with live birth was 0.9% and prevalence of gestational diabetes was 6%. Two studies from Kaiser Permente health systems in the U.S.A.^{9,10} assessed rates in pregnant women of multiple ethnicities after a standardized diagnostic approach for GDM had been applied over 9-10 years periods between 1991 and 2002. In both these studies, incidence rates of GDM rose over time, from slightly less than 4% to more than 6%. In our study the prevalence of GDM or diabetes in pregnancy was found to be 0.3%. In a study conducted Yogev Y, et al.¹¹ showed that 65% of patients with severe preterm delivery were in poor diabetic control in comparison to 46% in the non-severe preterm group. In our study 36.7% of the patients with gestational diabetes or pre-gestational diabetes delivered at a gestational age of less than 36 week. In a study conducted by Hajieh Shahbzian et al¹² showed that among 22 patients of GDM, 21 patients (95.5%) had normal thyroid and one patient (4.5%) had subclinical hypothyroidism and among 39 patients with pre-gestational diabetes, 29 cases (74.4%) had normal thyroid function and 10 cases (25.6%) had thyroid dysfunction. In our study 6 (25%) of the 24 patients of GDM or diabetes during pregnancy were associated with hypothyroidism.

According to some studies pre-existing diabetes is a risk factor for preeclampsia. In comparison to the relatively low incidence in a non-diabetic women (2-7%)^{13,14} preeclampsia is diagnosed in 15-20% pregnancies in women with type 1 diabetes¹⁵⁻¹⁷ and 10-14% of pregnancies in women with type 2 diabetes.^{18,19} A retrospective investigation of 647, 392 pregnancies in the German Perinatal Quality Registry examined the relation between GDM and preeclampsia while controlling for common risk factors. The authors found that the odds of preeclampsia were increased among women with GDM (adjusted odds ratio (aOR):1.29, 95% CI: 1.19-1.41), even after controlling for age, nationality, job status, smoking, parity, multifetal pregnancy, pre-pregnancy weight status and gestational weight gain.²⁰ In our study 4 (16.7%) of 24 patients of GDM and pre-gestational were associated with PIH.

In a study conducted in a tertiary care center in North Karnataka²¹ the prevalence of LSCS in patients of GDM or diabetes during pregnancy was found to be 70.3% whereas in our study the prevalence of LSCS was estimated to be 79.16%.

CONCLUSION

Even though there is a high risk for GDM in India in pregnant women but prevalence in our study was less in our study in comparison to other studies. One of the reasons for this could be because in interior regions of Kumaon in Uttarakhand a lot of women don't come to hospitals for delivery. Other reason that can be is food and work habits and also the climate and topography. Pre-obesity and obesity is less women of this region

Studies including our study do show association of GDM or pre-gestational pregnancy with thyroid dysfunction. In our study all associated cases of thyroid dysfunction were of hypothyroidism. Hypothyroidism is common in the hilly areas and also in pregnancy. This can be a potential explanation for this association in our study.

All the data including the one in this study shows that diabetes during pregnancy can itself give rise to other morbid conditions and contributes to complications and difficulty during pregnancy and delivery, which makes it very necessary to be vigilant towards blood sugar of all pregnant women. Any pregnant lady who comes for delivery need to have their blood sugar checked even if previous sugar levels

were normal because insulin resistance can develop at any time during pregnancy even at later stages.

ABBREVIATIONS

GCT- Glucose Challenge Test, GDM- Gestational Diabetes Mellitus, DM- Diabetes Mellitus, T2DM- Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus

REFERENCES

- 1. Joshi SR, Parikh RM. India- Diabetes capital of the world: now heading towards hypertension. J Assoc Physicians India. 2007;55:323-4.
- Vidya Manoj Jadhav, Nitu Sinha. Screening for Diabetes in Pregnancy. Journal of Evolution of Medical and Dental Sciences. 2015;4:12668-12675.
- 3. American Diabetes Association: Diagnosis and Classification of diabetes mellitus. Diabetes care 2007;30:S42-S47.
- 4. Narayana KMV, Boyle JP, Thompson TJ, Gregg EW, Williamson DF: Effect of BMI on lifetime risk for diabetes in the U.S. Diabetes care 2007;30:1562-1566.
- Dornhost A, Rossi M. Risk and prevention of type 2 Diabetes in women with Gestational Diabetes. Diabetes care. 1998;21:B43-B49.
- 6. International Diabetes Federation IDF atlas, 7th ed.
- Bardenheier BH, Imperator G, Devlin HM, Kim SY, Iho P, Geiss LS. Trends in pre-pregnancy diabetes among deliveries in 19 U.S. states, 2000-2010. Am J Prev Med 2015; 48:154-61.
- Bardenheier BH, Inperatore G, Gilboa SM, et al. Trends in Gestational diabetes among hospital deliveries in 19 U.S. states, 2000-2010. Am J Prev Med 2015; 49; 12-2.
- Dabelea D, Snell-Bergeon TK, Hartsfield CL, Birchoff KJ, Hamnan RF, McDuffie RS. Kaiser Permanento of Colorado GDM screening Program: Increasing Prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) over time of Colorado screening program. Diabetes care. 2005;11:579-584.
- 10. Ferrura A, Kahn HS, Queenberg LP, Riley C, Hedderson MM. An increase in the incidence of gestational mellitus: Northern California, 1991-2000. Obstet Gynecol. 2004;103: 526-533.
- 11. Yogev Y, et al. Spontaneous preterm delivery and Gestational diabetes; the impact of glycemic control. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2007;23:20-29.
- 12. Hejieh Shahbazian, Nahid Shahbazian, Mahnaz Rahimi Baniani, Liela Yazdanpanal, Seyed Mahmood Latifi: Evaluation of thyroid dysfunction in pregnant women with gestational and pre-gestational diabetes: Pak J Med Sci. 2013; 29:638-641.
- 13. Knuist M, Bonsel GJ, Zandervan HA, Treffers PE. Intensification of fetal and maternal surveillance in pregnant women with Hypertensive disorders. Int J Gynecol obstet. 1998; 61: 127-33.
- 14. Hauth JC, Ewell MG, Levine RJ, Esterlitz JR, Sibai B, Curet LB, et al. Pregnancy outcomes in healthy nullipara who developed hypertension. Calcium for Pre-eclampsia Prevention study group. Obstet Gynecol. 2000; 95: 24-8.
- 15. Jensen DM, Damm P, Moelsted Pederson L, Ovensen P, Westergaard JG, Moeller M, et al. Outcomes in type

- 1 diabetic pregnancies: a nationwide, population-based study. Diabetic care. 2004;27:2819-23.
- 16. Persson M, Norman M, Hanson U. Obstetric and perinatal outcomes in type 1 diabetic pregnancies: A large, population based study. Diabetic care. 2009; 32:2005-9.
- 17. Knight KM, Thornburg LL, Pressman EK. Pregnancy outcomes in type 2 diabetic patients as compared with type 1 diabetic patients and non-diabetic controls. J Reprod Med. 2012;57:397-404.
- 18. Groen B, Links TP, Vanden Berg PP, Hellinga M, Moerman S, Viesser GH, et al. Similar adverse pregnancy outcome in native and nonnative dutch women with pre-gestational type 2 diabetes: a multicentre retrospective study. ISRN obstetrics and gynecology. 2013;2013:361435.
- 19. Knight KM, Pressman EK, Hackney DN, Thornburg LL. Perinatal outcomes in type 2 diabetic patients compared with non- diabetic non- diabetic patients matched by body mass index. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2012;25:611-5.
- 20. Schneider S, Freerksen N, Rohrig S, Hoeft B, Maul H. Gestational diabetes and preeclampsia—similar risk factor profiles? Early Hum Dev. 2012;88:179-84.
- 21. Savitri D. Kabade, Durgaprasad M. Kabade, Elizabeth Wilson, Karthik S.L., Lavanya K. Study of prevalence and outcome of gestational diabetes mellitus at a tertiary care hospital in North Karnataka. International Journal of Contemporary Medical Research 2017;4:325-328.

Source of Support: Nil; Conflict of Interest: None

Submitted: 12-12-2019; Accepted: 02-01-2020; Published: 22-01-2020