# Efficacy of Levosulpride in minimizing Post-operative Nausea and Vomiting after Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy

Renuka Sharma<sup>1</sup>, Pradeep Goyal<sup>2</sup>, Manish Wadhwa<sup>3</sup>, Money Gupta<sup>4</sup>, Vishal Mandial<sup>5</sup>, K S Jaswal<sup>6</sup>

#### **ABSTRACT**

**Introduction:** Gallstone disease is a common problem in our country. Approximately, 80% of the gallstones are asymptomatic. The risk factors predisposing to gallstone formation has been female sex, obesity, pregnancy, rapid weight loss, gallbladder stasis, and increasing age. Current study aimed to evaluate the efficacy of levosulpiride in minimizing PONV in our patients.

Material and Methods: The present study was conducted over a period of one year in the Department of Surgery from January 2018 to December2018. One hundred patients fulfilling the eligibility criteria for elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy were enrolled in the study and were randomly placed into 2 groups of fifty each.

**Result:** Injection of 25 mg levosulpiride in the preoperative phase in patients undergoing elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy surgeries under general anesthesia significantly (P<0.001) reduces the incidence of nausea & vomiting in post-operative phase.

**Conclusion:** levosulpride improved the quality of patient care in immediate post-operative phase and decreased the duration of hospital stay.

**Keywords:** Efficacy of Levosulpride, Post-operative Nausea, Vomiting after Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy

#### INTRODUCTION

Gallstone disease is a common problem in our country. Approximately, 80% of the gallstones are asymptomatic. The risk factors predisposing to gallstone formation has been female sex, obesity, pregnancy, rapid weight loss, gallbladder stasis, and increasing age.1 The patients commonly present with pain, dyspepsia, acute cholecystitis, rarely common bile duct stones and acute pancreatitis. The diagnosis of gallstones has been done traditionally by ultrasonography<sup>2-9</sup> and surgery has been the mainstay treatment for decades. Gallstone disease is associated with various psychological and social life sufferings in patients during their wait for surgery. Patients are at risk for developing acute complications in the event of delay in surgery which may require urgent hospital admission and treatment. Laparoscopic surgery has an obvious clinical advantage over open surgery in reducing postoperative pain, discomfort and other metabolic complications. 10-16 Laparoscopic access to the gall bladder is done in steep head-up tilt under general anesthesia after creating a pneumoperitoneum. Around 40-70% patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy experience adverse effects in the form of pain, dyspepsia, postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) due to irritation of diaphragm and internal viscera due to  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  insufflation.  $^{16\text{-}19}$  This can at times lead to severe electrolyte imbalance and hence delay in recovery of the patient. Several Medical measures have been described  $^{20\text{-}23}$  to minimize PONV in these patients but their efficacy is still debatable. Recently, levosulpiride has been claimed to provide a significant relief to this group patient after Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy. Therefore, we tried to evaluate its efficacy in minimizing PONV in our patients.

#### **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The present study was carried out in the department of General Surgery, M.M. College and Hospital, K.H. Solan, Himachal Pradesh, from January to December, 2018. The study was approved by Ethical Committee of the institute. Patients admitted in the department of general surgery fulfilling the eligibility criteria for elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy were enrolled in the study after a written and informed consent and were randomly assigned into two groups of 50 each.

#### **Inclusion Criteria**

- 1. Patient willing to participate in the study and giving a written informed consent
- 2. Patients listed for elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy.
- 3. Patients in the age group 20 and 50 years.
- 4. Patients having between 40 to 70 kg

# **Exclusion Criteria**

- 1. Patient refuse the surgery
- 2. Patients with known adverse effect to the study drug.
- 3. Emergency surgical intervention.
- 4. Patient less than 20 and more than 50 years of age.
- 5. Patients with a previous history of motion sickness.

<sup>1</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Surgery, <sup>2</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Surgery, <sup>3</sup>Senior Resident, Department of Surgery, <sup>4</sup>Professor, Department of Surgery, <sup>5</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Surgery, <sup>6</sup>HOD, Department of Surgery, Maharishi Markandeshwar Medical College and Hospital, Kumarhatti, Solan, HP, India

**Corresponding author:** Dr Pradeep Goyal, Associate Professor, Department of Surgery, MMMC&H, Kumarhatti -173229 Solan, HP, India

**How to cite this article:** Renuka Sharma, Pradeep Goyal, Manish Wadhwa, Money Gupta, Vishal Mandial, K S Jaswal. Efficacy of levosulpride in minimizing post-operative nausea and vomiting after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. International Journal of Contemporary Medical Research 2019;6(5):E35-E39.

**DOI:** http://dx.doi.org/10.21276/ijcmr.2019.6.5.50

#### Methodology

The patients were randomly assigned into 2 groups:

- Group L: Intravenous Injection of 25 mg Levosulpiride along with standard medication prior to surgery.
- Group C: Only received standard medication prior to surgery.

A strict bed rest was advised to all the patients in the first 24hours post-operatively. Other emetogenic and analgesic drugs were avoided in this period. The episodes of nausea, vomiting and adverse effects of levosulpiride, if any, were assessed postoperative phase. These were recorded at: 0-4 h, 4-8 h, 8-12 h and 12-24 h in the post-operative phase and analyzed accordingly. Rescue antiemetic consisting of injection metoclopromide 10 mg iv was given after vomiting.

#### **RESULTS**

The present study was carried out in the Department of General Surgery, M.M. College and Hospital, K.H. Solan, H.P, over a period of one year between January 2018 to December, 2018. The patient population (n=100) was randomized into

	Study group	Control
	(n=50)	group (n=50)
Age (in years)	(39.03+7.55)	(37.9±8.7)
	21-50 years	23-50 years
Gender (M:F)	12M & 18 F	13M & 17
	(2:3)	(2:3)
Weight (in kg)	59.67±6.91	58.27±8.14
Duration of illness	1-3 years	1-3 years
Clinical signs and symptoms		
Pain in Right Hypochondrium	35 (70%)	33 (66%)
Flatulant Dyspepsia	8(16.7%)	7 (14%)
Pain epigastrium	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
Incidental finding	3 (10%)	8(16%)
Co-Morbid condition		
Diabetes	7 (13.5%)	5 (10%)
Hypertension	1 (2%)	3(6%)
Both Diabetes & Hypertension	1(2%)	-
Table-1:		

USG findings	Number of patients (%)	Number of patients (%)
	Group L	Group C
GB Sludge	0 (0.00)	1 (2%)
Multiple calculi	35 (70%)	30(60%)
Solitary calculus	15 (30%)	18 (36%)
P value	0.091	
Remarks	NS	
NS: Non-significant,	USG: Ultrasonograph	ny
Table 2. Communication for USC for lines		

 Table-2: Group comparison for USG findings

two groups as study (n=50) and control (n=50). Patients in the study group received injection Levosulpiride 25 mg iv just before surgery along with the standard care, whereas the control group received only standard care.

## **Demographic of Patient population (table-1)**

**Age:** The age range of the study population ranged from 21 to 50 years with a mean age of  $30.03\pm7.55$  years, whereas, it was 23-50 years for the control group with a mean of  $37.9\pm8.7$  years.

**Gender:** The overall male to female ratio was 2:3 and was similar in both the groups.

**Weight:** The mean weight in the study group was 59.67±6.9 kg and for control group was 58.27±8.14kg. General patient profile along with clinical signs and symptoms of study and control group

**Duration of illness:** The duration of illness ranged from 1 to 3 years in both, study as well as control group.

**Co-morbid conditions:** Both, study as well as control group had underlying Co-morbid conditions such diabetes and hypertension in 13.5%, 2% in study and 10%, 6% in control group respectively. One patient had both diabetes as well as hypertension in the study group.

**Presenting clinical Signs and Symptoms:** The most common presenting clinical complaint was pain in the right hypochondrium in both study (n=35, 70%) and control group (n=33, 66%), followed by flatulent dyspepsia in 8 patients (16%) in study group and in 7 patients (14%) in control groups. Pain in the epigastrium was reported by only one patient in both the groups.

**Ultra-sonographic evaluation:** USG evaluation was done in both study as well as control group, in which 30 patients in control group had multiple calculi, 18 had a solitary stone. Thirty-five patients in study group had multiple calculi and 15 had solitary stone (Table-2)

#### Post-operative nausea and vomiting (PONV, Table-3)

**0-4 hours:** None of the patients in levosulpiride group had any nausea or vomiting within first 4 hours of the post-operative period, whereas 28 patients (56%) in the control group experienced nausea and vomiting during this period and this finding was statistically significant (p<0.0001).

**4-8 hours:** Twenty-seven (54%) patients in the control group and one (2%) in levosulpiride group experienced nausea or vomiting during this post-operative period and this difference was again statistically significant (p<0.0001).

Time interval (h)	Number of patients (%)	Number of patients (%)	P value	Remarks
	Group L (n=50)	Group C (n=50)		
0-4	0 (0.00)	28 (56%)	< 0.0001	S
4-8	1 (2%)	27 (54%)	< 0.001	S
8-12	10 (20%)	28 (56%)	0.001	S
12-24	8 (16%)	23 (46%)	0.001	S
S: Significant, PONV: Post-operative nausea and vomiting				
Table-3: Group comparison for PONV				

Groups	Rescue antiemetic	
	Number of patients (%)	
Group L ( <i>n</i> =50)	11(22%)	
Group C ( <i>n</i> =50)	27 (54%)	
P value	0.002	
Remarks	S	
S: Significant		
Table-4: Group co	mparison for rescue antiemetic	

Groups	Duration of hospital stay (days)	
	Mean±SD	
Group L	2.20±0.41	
Group C	2.33±0.48	
P value	0.251	
Remarks	S	
S: Significant, SD: Standard deviation		
Table-5: Group comparison for hospital stay		

**8-12 hours:** Twenty eight (56%) patients in the control group and ten (20%) in the study group experienced nausea or vomiting during this period and this was also statistically significant.

**12-24 hours:** Twenty-three (46%) patients in the control group and eight (16%) in the study group experienced nausea or vomiting during this period and this was also statistically significant (p<0.001).

In control group, 27 patients were given rescue antiemetics whereas in Levosulpiride group, only 11 patients received antiemetics, this difference was found to be statistically significantly (p<0.002), as shown in Table-4.

The mean length of hospital stay was 2.33±0.48 days in control group, whereas it was 2.20±0.41 days in Levosulpiride group and was comparable (Table-5) in both the groups.

# DISCUSSION

Post operative nausea and vomiting (PONV) after laparoscopic cholecystectomy is influenced by multiple factors. It causes a lot of discomfort to the patients in the post-operative phase despite recent advances in antiemetic therapy. PONV can be attributed either to patient-related factors such as age, sex, phase of the menstrual cycle or surgical and anaesthetic agent related, such as; volatile anaesthetic agents, nitrous oxide (N2O) and opioid use for pain relief.5 Higher incidence of PONV has been observed in females as compared to male patients. In the present study we tried to evaluate the efficacy of levosulpiride in preventing PONV in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Naguib et al<sup>22</sup> reported a remarkably high (72%) incidence of PONV after laparoscopic surgeries in their placebo group, which is comparable to the findings of our study, wherein, we observed an incidence of 56% (within first 12 hours) in the control group. Different types of antiemetic agents, including various anticholinergics, antihistaminic, dopamine receptor antagonists, glucocorticoids, neurokinin-1 antagonists, have been tried to prevent post-operative nausea and vomiting. Certain other drugs tried as antiemetics like, phenothiazines, butyrophenone, and metoclopramide have been found to be associated with extra pyramidal side effects.<sup>30</sup>

The female patients outnumbered the males in the control as well as study group. Mean patient age in the control group was  $38.73 \pm 8.37$  years (range 30-65 years) and  $36.03 \pm 6.75$ years (range 31-65 years) in the study group. Control group had a mean weight of  $57.72 \pm 6.14$  kg whereas it was  $58.76 \pm$ 7.19 kg in the study group. Most patients studied, presented with pain in the right hypochondrium (70%), followed by flatulent dyspepsia (16%). Preoperative ultrasonography revealed multiple calculi both in study(70%) as well as control group(60%), and solitary stones in 30 and 36 percent in study and control groups respectively. Levosulpiride was given intravenously 5 min prior to induction of anaesthesia. Subsequently the patients were monitored for nausea and vomiting at, 0-4, 4-12, 12-24 hours in the immediate postoperative phase. The incidence of these signs and symptoms were analyzed and compared in both the groups. The incidence of PONV was 56% within 0-4 h, 54% from 4 to 8 h, 56% from 8 to 12 h and 46% from 12 to 24 h, in the control group, whereas it was; nil, 2%, 20% and 16% for corresponding time intervals in the Levosulpiride group. This was found to be statistically higher in the control as compared to the study group, clearly indicating the efficacy of levosulpiride in minimizing the signs and symptoms postoperative nausea and vomiting. Several factors, including sex, obesity and surgical procedure affect the incidence of post-operative nausea and vomiting. The incidence of PONV seen in our control group significantly higher than the levosulpiride group and was comparable to many other recent studies in the literature.<sup>22-23</sup> This has clearly shown that the use of Levosulpiride in the pre-operative phase significantly reduces the incidence of PONV and is consistent with findings of Fuji et al<sup>16-17</sup> in their studies on this subject. The usage of the rescue anti-emetics was also significantly lower in the (9 out of 50 patients) Levosulpiride group as compared to (27out of 50 patients) control group in the post-operative phase. Thus, only one third of the patients received rescue anti emetics in the levosulpiride group as compared to the control group, again reemphasizing the need for. In addition the duration of hospital stay was longer in the control as compared to seen in the levosulpiride group.

There was no evidence of any adverse events or o toxicities in the study group due to levosulpiride as commonly associated with other anti emetics, such as extra pyramidal signs and symptoms, cardio toxicity and psychological disturbances. In another study of over 200 patients by Kranke et al<sup>20</sup>, where in preoperative administration of amisulpride decreased the incidence of PONV in their patients and these observations are in agreement with our findings. The incidence of PONV in our control group ranged from 46-56 percent and 2-20% in the levosulpiride group. In a study, including 113 patients, by Singh et al (2014) assigned into three groups of 40,35 and 38 patients receiving levosulpiride, domperidone and metoclopramide respectively in the post-operative phase, observed statistically significant improvement in overall dyspeptic symptoms in the levosulpiride group (P < 0.004) as compared to domperidone and metoclopramide groups. Similarly, in our study, significantly lower incidence of nausea and vomiting was seen in the levosulpiride group (P < 0.001). In a large study of 5000 patients by Apfel et al<sup>21</sup> (2008) showed a relative risk reduction of ~25% in the PONV in patients receiving antiemetics, including ondansetron, dexamethasone, and droperidol and compared to the one's without any antiemetic therapy. Similar results has also been observed with many other antiemetics in case control trials by Fortney et al<sup>20</sup> and Kovac et al<sup>15</sup> in 2008. A cochrane collaboration meta-analysis, by Carlisle et al<sup>6</sup>, of 737 studies involving 103,237 patients found that eight antiemetic agents tested were effective with a relative risk reduction in the range 20-40%. The benefit with injection levosulpiride 25 mg was a risk reduction of about 30%. Thus, the clinical benefit of levosulpiride has clearly demonstrated without any adverse effects related to its toxicity or extrapyramidal manifestations.<sup>30</sup> Hence Levosulpiride has several attractive features for use in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy and appears to be quite promising to manage PONV in these patients.

In addition, it has a low propensity for drug interactions<sup>24-30</sup> hence it can be safely used in elderly as well as in patients with renal failure. Thus, the present study clearly demonstrates the efficacy of preoperative administration of injection levosulpiride (25 mg) in the prevention of PONV and significantly reducing the requirement of rescue anti-emetics, duration of hospital stay resulting in overall improvement in patient care in the post-operative phase.

## **CONCLUSION**

Pre-operative levosulpiride (25 mg) injection significantly reduces the incidence of PONV in elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy surgery. Thus, it helps in improving the quality of post-operative care, early recovery and reduction in the duration of hospital stay.

## REFERENCES

- Unisa S, Jagannath P, Dhir V, Khandelwal C, Sarangi L, Roy TK. Population-based study to estimate prevalence and determine risk factors of gallbladder diseases in the rural Gangetic basin of North India. HPB (Oxford) 2011;13:117-25.
- Andrews PL. Physiology of nausea and vomiting. Br J Anaesth 1992;69 7 Suppl 1:2S-19.
- 3. Apfel CC, Roewer N, Korttila K. How to study postoperative nausea and vomiting. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2002;46:921-8.
- Honkavaara P, Lehtinen AM, Hovorka J, Korttila K. Nausea and vomiting after gynaecological laparoscopy depends upon the phase of the menstrual cycle. Can J Anaesth 1991;38:876-9.
- Boogaerts JG, Vanacker E, Seidel L, Albert A, Bardiau FM. Assessment of postoperative nausea using a visual analogue scale. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2000;44:470-4.
- Carlisle JB, Stevenson CA. Drugs for preventing postoperative nausea and vomiting. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2006;CD004125.
- 7. Corazza GR, Biagi F, Albano O, Bianchi Porro G,

- Cheli R, Mazzacca G, et al. Levosulpiride in functional dyspepsia: A multicentric, double-blind, controlled trial. Ital J Gastroenterol 1996;28:317-23.
- Corli O, Cozzolino A, Battaioto L. Effectiveness of levosulpiride versus metoclopramide for nausea and vomiting in advanced cancer patients: A double-blind, randomized, crossover study. J Pain Symptom Manag 1995;10:521-6.
- Torres K, Szukala M, Torres A, Pietrzyk L, Maciejewski R. Assessment of the correlation between gender, age, body mass index and the severity of postoperative pain, nausea and vomiting in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Pol Merkur Lekarski 2015;39:9-13.
- De Jong J, Cavalli F, Bonollo L, Neuschwander H, Martini A. A randomized crossover clinical study on the effectiveness of L-sulpiride in the prevention of nausea and emesis induced by antiblasttic chemotherapy. Curr Ther Res 1989;46:707-16.
- Fortney JT, Gan TJ, Graczyk S, Wetchler B, Melson T, Khalil S, et al. A comparison of the effi cacy, safety, and patient satisfaction of ondansetron versus droperidol as antiemetics for elective outpatient surgical procedures. S3A-409 and S3A-410 Study Groups. Anesth Analg 1998;86:731-8.
- 12. Gan TJ, Meyer T, Apfel CC, Chung F, Davis PJ, Eubanks S, et al. Consensus guidelines for managing postoperative nausea and vomiting. Anesth Analg 2003;97:62-71.
- Ren H, Tong Y, Ding XB, Wang X, Jin SQ, Niu XY, et al. Abdominal walllifting versus CO2 pneumoperitoneum in laparoscopy: A review and metaanalysis. Int J Clin Exp Med 2014;7:1558-68.
- 14. Kovac AL. Prevention and treatment of postoperative nausea and vomiting. Drugs 2000;59:213-43.
- 15. Kovac AL, Eberhart L, Kotarski J, Clerici G, Apfel C; Palonosetron Study Group. A randomized, double-blind study to evaluate the effi cacy and safety of three different doses of palonosetron versus placebo in preventing postoperative nausea and vomiting over a 72-hour period. Anesth Analg 2008;107:439-44.
- Fujii Y. The utility of antiemetics in the prevention and treatment of postoperative nausea and vomiting in patients scheduled for laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Curr Pharm Des 2005;11:3173-8 3.
- 17. Fujii Y, Tanaka H, Toyooka H. Granisetron reduces vomiting after strabismus surgery and tonsillectomy in children. Can J Anaesth 1996;43:35-8.
- Lerman J. Surgical and patient factors involved in postoperative nausea and vomiting. Br J Anaesth 1992;69 7 Suppl 1:24S-32.
- Kranke P, Eberhart L, Motsch J, Chassard D, Wallenborn J, Diemunsch P, et al. I.V. APD421 (Amisulpride) prevents postoperative nausea and vomiting: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre trial. Br J Anaesth 2013;111:938-45.
- Fortney JT, Gan TJ, Graczyk S, Wetchler B, Melson T, Khalil S, et al. A comparison of the effi cacy, safety, and patient satisfaction of ondansetron versus droperidol as antiemetics for elective outpatient surgical procedures. S3A-409 and S3A-410 Study Groups. Anesth Analg 1998;86:731-8.
- 21. Kovac AL, Eberhart L, Kotarski J, Clerici G, Apfel C;

- Palonosetron Study Group. A randomized, double-blind study to evaluate the effi cacy and safety of three different doses of palonosetron versus placebo in preventing postoperative nausea and vomiting over a 72-hour period. Anesth Analg 2008;107:439-44.
- 22. Naguib M, el Bakry AK, Khoshim MH, Channa AB, el Gammal M, el Gammal K, et al. Prophylactic antiemetic therapy with ondansetron, tropisetron, granisetron and metoclopramide in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy: A randomized, double-blind comparison with placebo. Can J Anaesth 1996;43:226-31
- Lozano R, Concha MP, Montealegre A, de Leon L, Villalba JO, Esteban HL, et al. Effectiveness and safety of levosulpiride in the treatment of dysmotilitylike functional dyspepsia. Ther Clin Risk Manag 2007;3:149-55.
- 24. Mansi C, Borro P, Giacomini M, Biagini R, Mele MR, Pandolfo N, et al. Comparative effects of levosulpiride and cisapride on gastric emptying and symptoms in patients with functional dyspepsia and gastroparesis. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2000;14:561-9.
- 25. Mazzarella B, Mastronardi P, Cafi ero T, Iannotti M. Antiemetic effect of L-sulpiride in obstetric patients. Curr Ther Res 1988;43:255-26.
- Mearin F, Rodrigo L, Pérez-Mota A, Balboa A, Jiménez I, Sebastián JJ, et al. Levosulpiride and cisapride in the treatment of dysmotility-like functional dyspepsia: A randomized, double-masked trial. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2004;2:301-8.
- 27. Naylor RJ, Inall FC. The physiology and pharmacology of postoperative nausea and vomiting. Anaesthesia 1994;49 Suppl:2-5.
- Singh H, Bala R, Kaur K. Effi cacy and tolerability of levosulipride, domperidone and metoclopramide in patients with non-ulcer functional dyspepsia: A comparative analysis. J Clin Diagn Res 2015;9:FC09-12.
- Watcha MF, White PF. Postoperative nausea and vomiting. Its etiology, treatment, and prevention. Anesthesiology 1992;77:162-84. Rossi F, Forgione A. Pharmacotoxicological aspects of levosulpiride. Pharmacol Res 1995;31:81
- 30. Rossi F, Forgione A. Pharmacotoxicological aspects of levosulpiride. Pharmacol Res 1995;31:81

Source of Support: Nil; Conflict of Interest: None

Submitted: 10-04-2019; Accepted: 03-05-2019; Published: 28-05-2019