Low Dose Ketamine in Labour Analgesia

Shabina Khan¹, Palak Gupta², Manik Shrivastava³, H.K Premi⁴, Lata Agrawal⁵, Shobha Mukherjee⁶

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Ketamine is dissociative anaesthesia- a combination of profound analgesia with superficial sleep. This state is characterized by spontaneous ventilation, relative preservation of airway reflexes and hemodynamic stability. The present study was designed to evaluate the efficacy of programmed labour protocol in proving shorter, safer and relatively pain free deliveries.

Material and methods: This study was a randomised control trial done in The Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Rohailkhand Medical College and Hospital for a span of one year (October 2016 to September 2017).

Result: In our study, the duration of labour, induction delivery interval was significantly reduced. Pain relief was excellent in study group.

Conclusion: Ketamine's site of action appears to be primarily in the thalamus and limbic systems, acting as N- methyl Daspartate receptor non- competitive antagonist. It does not suppress respiratory drive unless high dosage are used, or small dosage given rapidly. Intravenous ketamine in low dose appears to be safe laternative to epidural analgesia.

Keywords: Ketamine; Low Dose; Pain Relief

INTRODUCTION

Ketamine was first synthesized in 1962 at the Park Devis Lab by Calvin Stevens. The original name of ketamine was CI 581.

According to Inger Findley and Geoffry Chamberlein an ideal method of pain relief in labour should not interfere with uterine contractions or the progress of labour and should not increase operative intervention¹, it should not depress the respiratory centre of newborn, should be easy to administer, it should not have unpleasant side effects to mother and fetus. Ketamine has least suppressive effects on fetus because of its ability to raise the maternal blood pressure and uterine blood flow, so babies delivered shortly after low dose ketamine might have benefited from an improvement in uterine perfusion.² Low dose ketamine of 2mg/kg given in labour analgesia does not have any deleterious effect on APGAR score.^{3,4}

Current research aimed_to study maternal outcome, duration of labour, fetal outcome:- APGAR score at 1 and 5 minutes, side effects of ketamine on mother and to evaluate patients satisfaction

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This study was a prospective non- placebo randomised control trial done in The Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Rohailkhand Medical College and Hospital for a span of one year (October 2016 to September 2017). Clearance was taken from institutional ethical committee, informed and written consent obtained by patient, women admitted in labour room were screened. Detailed history taken, detailed general and obstetrics examination was done. 120 patients were enrolled in the study. They were divided in two different groups, control group (no drug given), study group (intravenous ketamine administered).

Inclusion criteria

- 1. Parturient in active phase of labour with 4cm cervical dilatation
- 2. Vertex presentation
- 3. Single term pregnancy
- 4. normotensive patient
- 5. no cephalopelvic disproportion
- 6. fetus in good condition

Exclusion criteria

- 1. Malpresentation
- 2. Multiple gestation
- 3. PROM
- 4. IUGR
- 5. Preeclampsia/ eclampsia/ hypertension
- 6. Previous uterine scar
- 7. Neuropsychiatric disorder
- 8. Preterm labour
- 9. Precious baby
- 10. Cardiac disease
- 11. Liver disease

The study started at active phase of labour (\geq 4cm cervical dilatation) with good uterine contractions (3-4 contractions for 40-45seconds in 10 minutes).

Patients were divided into study and control groups. 60 patients were included in control groups, who fulfil the inclusion criteria. They were premedicated with glycopyrolate 0.0005mg/kg, then loading dose of ketamine 0.2mg/kg body weight given slowly over 30-60seconds. The maintenance

¹Associate Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, ²Post Graduate Student, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, ³Post Graduate Student, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, ⁴Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, ⁵Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, ⁶Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Rohilkhand Medical College and Hospital, Bareilly, UP, India

Corresponding author: Dr Palak Gupta, Post Graduate Student, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Rohilkhand Medical College and Hospital, Bareilly, UP, India

How to cite this article: Shabina Khan, Palak Gupta, Manik Shrivastava, H.K Premi, Lata Agrawal, Shobha Mukherjee. Low Dose Ketamine in Labour Analgesia. International Journal of Contemporary Medical Research 2019;6(2):B1-B4.

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.21276/ijcmr.2019.6.2.18

dose was started at the rate of 1mg/minute body weight given in normal saline slowly after 30 min through infusion pump, Partograph maintained, fetal monitoring done with pulse, blood pressure, SPO₂, respiratory rate. After delivery, infusion was continued till episiotomy suturing done. In the control group 60 patient did not receive ketamine but all the parameters checked in same manner as control group. All same manner as control group.

All patients observed for one hour after delivery. The patients were asked to rate their overall quality of analgesia using visual analogue scale.

VAS follow:-

Excellent- VAS 0-2, no pain during labour

Satisfactory- VAS 2-8, minimal pain Unsatisfactory- VAS 9-10, little or no pain relief⁸

RESULTS

The mean age of study group was 35.3 ± 10.1 , while that of control group was 37.9 ± 8.2 . BMI of the women in study group was 25.1 ± 5.2 , in control group it was 26.5 ± 3.6 . In the present study, 45 women in study group were primigravida and 15 were multigravida. In control group 42 were primigravida and 18 were multigravida.

Duration of first stage of labour in control group was 360 minutes, while in study group it was 240 minutes. Duration of first stage was significantly shorter in study group.

Groups	Study	Control	t- value	P- value	
Age	35.3±10.1	37.9±8.2	1.5480	0.1243	
Weight	68.2±12.7	70.6±10.6	1.1238	0.2634	
Height	1.65±0.7	1.63±0.06	0.1397	0.8891	
BMI	25.1±5.2	26.5±3.6	1.7146	0.0890	
Table-1: Demographic distribution					

	Study group	Control	χ^2	Р			
Primigravida	45	42	0.17	0.6801(NS)*			
Multigravida	15	18					
* NS = not significant							
Table 2. Distribution according to posite							

Table-2: Distribution according to pa	rity
---------------------------------------	------

Groups	Ν	Mean	SD	t- value	P value
Control	60	360	40.6	14.695	<0.001(HS)*
Study	60	240	48.5		
*highly significant					
		TIL A D	C C		

Table-3: Duration of first stage of labour

Group	Number	Mean	SD	t- value	P-value	
Study	60	32.04	5.37	3.454	<0.001(HS)*	
Control	60	35.80	6.50			
*HS = highly significant						
			1 . 01.1			

Table-4: Duration of second stage of labour

	Study	Study group		Control group		Р	
Time (in minutes)	Number	Percentage (%)	Number	Percentage (%)	16.98	<0.001(HS)*	
180-240	30	50	10	16.6			
241-300	20	33.3	25	41.6			
301-360	10	16.6	25	41.6			
>360	0	0	0	0			
Total	60	100	60	100			
*HS = highly significant							
Table 5. Induction Delivery Internal							

Table-5: Induction- Delivery Interval

	At one minute		At 5 m	inutes		
APGAR score	Study	Control	Study	Control		
9-10	4	0	100	95		
7-8	96	98	0	5		
<7	0	2	0	0		
Total	100	100	100	100		
Table-6: Effect on fetus						

B2 International Journal of Contemporary Medical Research Volume 6 | Issue 2 | February 2019 | ICV: 77.83 | ISSN (Online): 2393-915X; (Print): 2454-7379

Side effects	Number	Percentage (%)			
Tachycardia	15	25	χ2 =31.2	P= 0.496	
Rise in B.P	10	16.6			
Hallucination	0	0			
Apnoea	0	0			
Nausea and vomiting	10	16.6			
Emergency reaction	0	0			
Table-7: Side effects on mother					

Degree of analysis	Number	Percentage (%)			
Unsatisfactory	0	0	χ2= 31.2	P=<0.001	
Satisfactory	12	20			
Excellent	48	80			
Total	60	100			
Table-8: Degree of pain relief					

In study group maximum number of women (i.e, 50%) had induction to delivery interval was between 180-240 minutes compared to 16.6% in control group.

In the study group, 4% of neonate had APGAR score of 9-10 at one minute, 100% at 5 minutes. None of the neonate had APGAR score of <7. There was no significant adverse effect of ketamine in study group.

In the present study, 25% of the women experienced tachycardia, 16.6% had rise in B.P, 16.6% had nausea and vomiting.

The degree of pain relief in the study group was excellent in 80% of the patient, was satisfactory in 20%. This was statistically significant.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, induction dose of ketamine was 0.2mg/ kg and maintenance dose was 1mg/min with continuous infusion pump. In present study, mean duration of first stage of labour was 140.6 minutes Z value was 8.10 i.e, significant, compared to Ayangude, in which duration of labour was shortened from 360 minutes to 196minutes.⁶

In Sharma et al, duration of first stage was 192 minutes in primigravida, 98 minutes in multigravida.⁷

Ketamine reduces pain thereby reduces maternal exhaustion; so the patients were very cooperative during labour. It has oxytocic effect also, so it has increased uterine contractions and cervical dilatation. So first stage was shortened significantly.

In present study, mean duration of second stage was 32 minutes in study group and 35.8 minute in control group with Z value of 3.15 i.e. significant. Bearing down effort was not inhibited by ketamine in second stage of labour. Mean duration of third stage of labour was similar in both groups. In the present study, ketamine had no effect on APGAR score at 1 minute and 5 minute.

In the present study 15% had tachycardia, 10% had rise in blood pressure and 10% had nausea and vomiting. Degree of pain relief was excellent in 80% as compared to Desai and Dftary, where it was 70%.⁸

The low dose ketamine for painless labour is simple, safe, effective and economical method for pain relief in labour

in India where everyone is not capable enough to afford epidural analgesia for labour pain.^{9,10}

CONCLUSION

The low dose intravenous ketamine suits best for painless labour since

- 1. It provides effective analgesia in low doses
- 2. Safe without significant maternal and fetal complications
- 3. It does not prolong duration of labour and there is no increase in rate of instrumental delivery or caesarean section rates.
- 4. It is easy to administer and monitor
- 5. It is cost effective and economical

REFERENCES

- 1. Findley L, Chamberlain G. Relief of pain. BMJ 1999;318:927-930.
- 2. Levinson G, Shnider SM, Gildea JE, DeLorimier AA: Maternal and fetal cardiovascular and acid- base changes during ketamine anaesthesia in pregnant ewws. British journal of anaesthesia 1973;45:1111-1115.
- Meer FM, Downing JW, Coleman AJ: An intravenous method of anaesthesia for caesarean section. II. Ketamine. British journal of anaesthesia 1973;45:191-196.
- Baraka A, Louis F, Dalleh R:Maternal awareness and neonatal outcome after ketamine induction of anaesthesia for Caesarean section. Canadian journal of anaesthesia 1990; 37:641-644.
- Bodian CA, Freedman G, Hossain S, Eisenkraft JB, Beilin Y. The visual analog scale for pain: Clinical significance in postoperative patients. Anaesthesiology 2001;95:1356-61.
- Ayangde O. Microadministration of Ketamine during labour and delivery of Nigerian women. IntJ Gynecol Obstet 1979;17:88-90.
- Sharma SK, Sidwai JE. Ramin SM, Lucas MJ, Leveno KJ, Cunningham FG. Caesarean delivery: a randomized trial of epiduralversus patient control meperidine analgesia during labour. Anaesthesiology. 1997;87:487-94.
- 8. Dftary SN, Desai SV, Thanawala U, Bhide A, Levi J, Patki A, et al. Programed labour- indigenous protocol to optimize labour outcome. South asian Federation of

Section: Obstetrics and Gynecology

obstetrics and gynecology 2009;1:61-4.

- 9. Anim- Somuah, M., Smyth, R.M Jones, L. Epidural versus non- epidural or no analgesia in labour. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2011;12:CD000331.
- Little B, Chang T, Chucot L, Dill WA, Dill WA, Enrile LL, Glazko AJ, et al. Study of ketamine as an obstetrics anaesthetic agent Am J Obstet Gynecol 1972;113:247-60.

Source of Support: Nil; Conflict of Interest: None

Submitted: 09-12-2018; Accepted: 11-01-2019; Published: 15-02-2019