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ABSTRACT

**Introduction**: Urogenital fistula is a physically, socially and psychologically devastating condition. Although advances occurred in the understanding of etiology, pathogenesis, diagnosis, and management, it still poses challenges to the treating surgeon because of the controversies regarding the optimum time of repair and the ideal surgical approach. The objective of our study was to review cases of urogenital fistulae referred to our department over a 4-year period, with respect to etiology, types, management and outcome.

**Material and methods**: This was a retrospective observational study between January 2013 to January 2017 which reviewed patient charts undergoing Genitourinary fistula repair at our Institute SVIMS, Tirupati for etiology, site, size and number of fistulae, clinical presentation, diagnostic modalities, and management.

**Results**: A total of 49 women underwent genitourinary fistula repair at our institute between January 2013 to January 2017. The mean age of the patients was 39 years (19-58). Out of 49 cases, 35 cases were vesicovaginal fistulae (VVF), 3 fistulae were ureterovaginal, two fistulae were both VVF and ureterovaginal, 7 fistulae were urethrovaginal and one vesico-uterine fistula.

**Conclusion**: Genitourinary fistulas are socially debilitating. High rates of successful fistula closure can be achieved irrespective of etiology by following sound surgical principles of fistula repair.
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INTRODUCTION

Genitourinary fistula is an abnormal communication between the genital tract and urinary system. Any part of urinary system i.e ureter, bladder, urethra may communicate with any part of genital system (uterus, vagina). Vesicovaginal fistula (VVF) is the most common genitourinary fistula.¹

The etiology and incidence of the urogenital fistula varies geographically. In developed countries, these fistulae are typically related to gynecological surgery, pelvic pathology or radiation therapy.² In contrast, urogenital fistulae in the developing countries like India are usually associated with child birth.³

Urogenital fistula is a physically, socially and psychologically devastating condition. Although advances occurred in the understanding of etiology, pathogenesis, diagnosis, and management, it still poses challenges to the treating surgeon because of the controversies regarding the optimum time of repair and the ideal surgical approach. The objective of our study was to review cases of urogenital fistulae managed at our department over a 4-year period, with respect to etiology, types, management and outcome.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This was a retrospective observational study between January 2013 to January 2017 which reviewed patient charts undergoing Genitourinary fistula repair at our Institute SVIMS, Tirupati for etiology, site, size and number of fistulae, clinical presentation, diagnostic modalities, and management.

All the patients were evaluated for history, clinical examination, baseline investigation, ultrasonography abdomen, and CT urography. Cystoscopy was done to know the site, size and number of fistulae, and the condition of surrounding mucosa. Vaginal speculum examination was done to know about vaginal capacity and mucosal integrity. After this initial work-up, fistulae were divided into two groups, simple and complex.⁴ Complex fistula included large fistulae, recurrent fistulae, fistulae requiring ureteric reimplantation, fistulae due to radiation/genitourinary tuberculosis.

The route and type of surgical repairs were individualized according to the classification of fistulae and accessibility of the fistula tract. All the patients were followed up at least for a period of 6 months. The cure rate per repair and overall success rate of various surgical approaches were analyzed. Vesicovaginal fistulae were approached either by 1. Transabdominal repair – classical O’Connor (BIVALVING) (figure1)/ trans-vesical 2. Transvaginal repair Transabdominal repair was done for complex and supratrigonal fistulae. Omentum was used as interposing tissue. After repair, the bladder was drained by a suprapubic and a urethral catheter with a drain in peri vesical space for 3-4 days. Catheters were removed after 3 weeks.

The transvaginal route was preferred for simple and small trigonal fistulae. Martius flap was used as interposing tissue.
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A pack was placed in the vagina for 24 hrs. Indwelling Foley catheter was placed for 14-21 days. For VVF associated with ureterovaginal fistula ureteric reimplantation with or without psoas hitch was done. Ureterovaginal fistulae were repaired by ureteroneocystostomy (With or without Psoas hitch) using Modified Lich-Grégoire technique over DJ stent. Bladder was drained by both per urethral and suprapubic catheter 3 weeks after repair. Stent was removed after 6 weeks.

Urethrovaginal fistula were repaired transvaginally (with Martius flap/ layered closure) as shown in figure 2. Vesicouterine fistula was repaired by transabdominal hysterectomy and layered closure of bladder with omentum as interposing tissue followed by continuous bladder drainage for 3 weeks.

**RESULTS**

A total of 49 women underwent genitourinary fistula repair at our institute between January 2013 to January 2017. The mean age of the patients was 39 years (19-58) with the majority of patients in 31 to 40 age group. Out of 49 cases, 35 cases were VVF, 3 fistulae were ureterovaginal, two fistulae were both VVF and ureterovaginal, 7 fistulae were urethrovaginal and one vesicouterine fistula. Obstetric trauma (57.14%) was the most common cause of genitourinary fistulae followed by gynecological surgeries (32.65%) in our study. Other causes included radiation and genitourinary tuberculosis.

Obstetric trauma and gynecological surgeries were leading causes for vesicovaginal fistula while all ureterovaginal fistulae were iatrogenic. All urethrovaginal except one were due to obstetric trauma. Radiation was the cause of vesicouterine fistula in our study. (Table 1)

When types of fistulae were analyzed 25 fistulae were simple while 33 fistulae were complex which included 4 recurrent fistulae, 19 large and multiple fistulae, 5 fistulae that required ureteric reimplantation and 5 fistulae due to radiation/GUTB.

**Approach of repair (Table 2)**

Out of the 35 vesicovaginal fistulae 20 were approached transabdominally while transvaginal route was used in 15 vesicovaginal fistula.

Ureterovaginal fistulae with or without vesicovaginal fistulae were approached transabdominally.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Obstetric trauma</td>
<td>28 (57.14%)</td>
<td>71.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gynecology surgeries</td>
<td>16 (32.65%)</td>
<td>32.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation</td>
<td>4 (8.16%)</td>
<td>8.16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUTB</td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table-1: Etiology of genitourinary fistulas**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of fistula</th>
<th>Transabdominal</th>
<th>Transvaginal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vesicovaginal Fistulae (35)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ureterovaginal fistulae</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urethrovaginal Fistulae</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vesicouterine Fistulae</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table-2: Approach of repair**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method of repair</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Failures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transabdominal classical O’Connor (bivalving) with omental flap</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transabdominal transvesical with omentum</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ureteric Reimplantation</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal hysterectomy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transvaginal layered closure with martius flap</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table-3: Method of repair**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Transabdominal</th>
<th>Transvaginal</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean operative time</td>
<td>124min</td>
<td>94.7min</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean hospital stay</td>
<td>8 days</td>
<td>6 days</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood transfusion</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
omentum was used as interposing tissue. When transvaginal and transabdominal routes were compared, mean operative time and mean hospital stay were significantly lower in transvaginal compared to transabdominal. Further no patient in transvaginal approach required blood transfusion. There were two failures one each in transvaginal and transabdominal with a total success rate of 94.3%. (Table 4)

**Ureterovaginal fistula**

All 3 cases of isolated ureterovaginal fistulae were repaired by ureteric reimplantation while 2 cases of combined VVF and UVF were managed by transabdominal transvesical repair with ureteric reimplantation. There were no failures in this group.

**Urethrovaginal fistula**

All eight cases of urethrovaginal fistulae were successfully managed through transvaginal repair with martius flap as interposing tissue.

**Vesicouterine fistula**

Our series included only one case of vesicouterine fistula which was successfully repaired by total abdominal hysterectomy, fistula excision and repair with omentum as interposing tissue.

**Complications**

a. Failures: There were two failures in 49 cases with an overall success rate of 95.92%. Both failures occurred in vesicovaginal fistula and were successfully managed with a transabdominal approach.

b. Other complications included irritative LUTS in 7, urinary tract infections in 8, wound infection in two patients. All these were successfully managed with conservative measures.

**DISCUSSION**

The true incidence of genitourinary fistula in our country is unknown as many women do not reach hospital and remain neglected. An overall prevalence has been estimated at 0.2–2% in different societies. Its occurrence reflects the level of maternity care in a community and most cases are the consequence of mismanaged pregnancy, labour and delay in referral to hospital.

In our study obstetric causes were found in 28 cases (57.14%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Success rate</th>
<th>Approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eisen et al</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Abdominal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persky et al</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>Abdominal (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O connor</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>Abdominal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wein et al</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>Abdominal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharma</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>Abdominal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evan’s et al</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Abdominal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patil</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>72.22</td>
<td>Vaginal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eilber et al</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>Vaginal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>94.285%</td>
<td>Abdominal (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vaginal (15)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-5: Success rates of vesicovaginal fistula repair
followed by gynecological causes in 16 cases (32.65%). With increasing use of radiation in pelvic malignancies, radiation is an important etiological factor of genitourinary fistula. In our series 4 cases (8.16%) were due to radiation while in one case (2%) tuberculosis was the cause.

Vesicovaginal fistulae (VVF) remain the most common variety, with more than 80% of cases worldwide resulting from obstructed labor.\textsuperscript{10,11,12} Similarly, in our study, vesicovaginal fistulas were the most common (71.4%) type and 60% (21 cases) of these were caused by an obstetric trauma. Other causes of VVF in our series are gynecological surgery (28.5%), radiation (8.5%) and tuberculosis (2.85%) of cases. Conservative treatment of VVF by bladder drainage has been described in the literature.\textsuperscript{13} In our series conservative measures were not helpful which could be due to the fact that most patients reported late after the development of the fistula. Poverty, illiteracy and social stigma are the main factors for patients not to seek consultation until the later stages of fistula development.

Vesicovaginal fistulas were repaired successfully when delayed repair was undertaken after 3–6 months to allow any inflammation and edema to settle down.\textsuperscript{14} Some authors have suggested early closure of fistulas as it reduces patient’s morbidity.\textsuperscript{15} However regular follow up and cystoscopy to assess the local conditions is fundamental in selecting the earliest date for repair. In our institute fistula repair is done once local conditions are favourable as dictated by cystoscopy.

The route of surgery, i.e. abdominal, vaginal or combined, is decided on according to the preferences and expertise of the operating surgeon. The vaginal route was preferred for the benefits of low complications, minimum blood loss, rapid postoperative recovery and shorter hospital stay. Abdominal repair was reserved for complex fistulae, high on the bladder wall, as well as supratrigonal, ureterovaginal and vesicouterine fistulae.

The use of interposition flap improves the chances of good outcome.\textsuperscript{16,17,18} In abdominal repair omentum is the tissue of first choice. It enhances the blood supply, protects the suture line and closes the dead space. In our institute optimal results were obtained with use of omentum in abdominal approach and Martius flap in vaginal approach as interposing tissue.

In our study, of the total 35 VVFs, 33 were successful with a success rate of 94.285%. There was one failure among twenty cases approached transabdominally and also one failure in 15 cases which were repaired transvaginally with success rate of 95% and 93.33% respectively. These results are similar or superior to the results reported elsewhere. Sharma\textsuperscript{19} reported 25 patients who underwent omental flap placement of which 21 were successful. Wein et al\textsuperscript{20} used the transvesical approach with interposition of peritoneum or omentum in 34 patients, of whom 30 had successful repair. O’Connor\textsuperscript{21} used a suprapubic transvesical approach for 42 patients, with successful repair in 37. Patil et al\textsuperscript{22} used a gracilis in 18 patients, with success in 13 cases. (Table 5)

Ureterovaginal fistulae (UVF) are generally due to iatrogenic mostly gynecological surgery. There were 3 cases of UVF in our series and all of them were caused by gynecological surgery. Trauma to the ureter during the surgical procedure can occasionally happen even when skilled surgeons are operating, leading to ureterovaginal fistulae. Approximately 80–90% of the injuries occur in the distal portion of the ureter, where it passes beneath the uterine vessels.\textsuperscript{23} Even the presence of combined vesicovaginal and ureterovaginal fistulae is not uncommon. Lee and Symmonds\textsuperscript{24} as well as Goodwin and Scardino\textsuperscript{25} reported an incidence of 25 and 12% respectively of combined ureterovaginal and vesicovaginal fistula, which is 4.08% (2/49) in the present series. All the combined UVF and VVF (2 cases) also resulted from gynecological surgery in present series.

The timing of the surgical intervention depends on various factors including the extent of the causative operation and the condition for which it was performed, the type and timing of ureteric injury, the condition of the pelvic tissue and the patient’s general condition. In small number of patients, the fistula may close spontaneously either without any intervention or with the aid of an indwelling ureteric stent.\textsuperscript{26} Ureteroneocystostomy is the procedure of choice in most patients with ureterovaginal fistulae. The various other reported operations are transuretero-ureterostomy combined with Boari’s flap for bilateral injuries\textsuperscript{27}, ileal replacement of the lower ureter\textsuperscript{28} or ileal conduit diversion.\textsuperscript{29} Primary nephrectomy may be required in some cases.\textsuperscript{30} In our series all renal units were salvaged with successful ureteric reimplantation. Blandy and colleagues\textsuperscript{31} reported on early repair of 30 iatrogenic ureterovaginal fistulae with more than 90% success rate.

The incidence of less commonly reported\textsuperscript{32} Vesicouterine fistula (VUF) is also increasing because of increasing rates of lower segment cesarean section (CS) with the possibility of bladder damage.\textsuperscript{33} The single case of VUF in our series was due to radiation. Other reported causes are pelvic trauma, instrumentation, malignancy, vacuum delivery, complication of the intrauterine device, uterine artery embolization or manual removal of the placenta.\textsuperscript{34} Although spontaneous healing is reported in 5% by the conservative approach\textsuperscript{35}, surgical repair is the definitive treatment. Surgical management depends upon fertility status of patient. We successfully managed the case with hysterectomy and layered closure.

Urethrovaginal fistulas in developing countries result mainly due to obstetric causes.\textsuperscript{36} Due to advances in obstetric care, urologists in the developed world encounter urethrovaginal fistulae rarely, and many of the fistulae seen are secondary to vaginal surgery.\textsuperscript{37} In our series there were a total of 8 cases and 7 of them (87.5%) were due to obstetric causes. Surgical treatment procedures include direct primary anatomical repair and interposition tissue restorations, mainly by Martius flap. We achieved desirable results in all cases with transvaginal approach and Martius flap was employed as interposing tissue.
CONCLUSION

Genitourinary fistulae are socially debilitating. Surgical treatment of genitourinary fistulae depends on size and location of fistula. Transvaginal repair was preferred whenever possible. High rates of successful fistula closure can be achieved irrespective of etiology by following sound surgical principles of fistula repair.
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