# **Clinical Study of Hypertensive Crisis in Medicine Ward**

## Shailendra Kumar Manjhvar<sup>1</sup>, Shivaji Thakare<sup>2</sup>, Hariom Gupta<sup>1</sup>, Manoj Indurkar<sup>3</sup>

### ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** A majority of hypertensive crisis cases are consequent of inadequate medical treatment. Hypertensive crisis is an urgent situation in the emergency department and presents various clinical patterns. The present study aimed to evaluate the risk factors and spectrum of end organ damage in hypertensive crisis.

**Material and Methods:** This study was carried out among 200 patients with SBP  $\geq$ 180 mm Hg or DBP  $\geq$  120 mm Hg admitted to medicine ward. On admission detailed history, complete clinical examination, and necessary investigations were done to assess the end organ damage. Among 200 patients of hypertensive crisis (HTN-C), 144 individuals (72%) met the criteria for hypertensive emergency (HTN-E) and 56 (28%) met the criteria for hypertensive urgency (HTN-U).

**Results:** Patients with HTN-E were older (P<0.001), more sedentary (P=0.037), more smoker (p=0.0073) and more non adherent to anti hypertensive medications (p=0.049) than those with HTN-U. Furthermore, fewer HTN-E patients than HTN-U patients had known history of hypertension (P=0.0029). Retinopathy (66%), ischemic stroke (23%), hemorrhagic stroke (16%), acute heart failure (18%) and acute coronary syndrome (16%) were target-organ damage in HTN-E. The prevalence of hypertensive crisis in the patients admitted to the ICU is approximately 1.76%.

**Conclusion:** The early detection of end organ damage and appropriate treatment are key determinants to avoid severe complications of hypertension.

**Keywords:** Hypertensive Crisis, Hypertensive Emergency, Hypertensive Urgency

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The prevalence of hypertension is increased in India, from 5% to more than 30% from 1960s to 2008.<sup>1</sup> It is approximated that around 1% of patients with hypertension develop a hypertensive crisis during their lifetime.<sup>2</sup> A majority of hypertensive crisis cases are consequent of inadequate medical treatment.<sup>3</sup> Even though chronic hypertension is established recognised risk factor for cardiovascular, cerebrovascular and renal disease, acute elevations in blood pressure can lead to significant morbidity due to acute end organ damage.<sup>4</sup>

A hypertensive crisis is further classified into hypertensive emergency (HTN-E) and hypertensive urgency (HTN-U). HTN-E is characterized by a severe elevation of blood pressure (BP;  $\geq$ 180/120 mm Hg) that represents an acute threat to vital organs leading to organ damage or target organ failure and it should be monitored carefully under intensive care unit settings to reduce blood pressure within one hour using parenteral drugs.<sup>5</sup> HTN-U is characterized by uncontrolled blood pressure without failure or damage to the target organ.<sup>6</sup> and it should be controlled with oral drugs within 24 hours.<sup>5</sup>

Providentially, now therapeutic options include the timetested medications available for both the acute, in-hospital treatment of hypertensive emergencies, as well as outpatient management of chronic hypertension. If properly treated, hypertensive emergencies need not to be considered as malignant no longer.<sup>7</sup>

Hypertensive crisis is an urgent situation in the emergency department and presents various clinical patterns. Hence, present study was an attempt to create awareness regarding various risk factors leading to hypertensive crisis along with their timely prevention and subsequently reducing mortality and morbidity associated with it.

#### **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

A total of 200 patients presenting hypertensive crisis (HTN-C) and admitted to the Medicine Department from March 2015 to may 2016 were evaluated in this study. The criteria proposed by the Seventh Joint National Committee were used for the definition of HTN-C. We classified as HTN-C into hypertensive emergencies (HTN-E) and hypertensive urgencies (HTN-U) depending on target organ damage. Hypertensive emergency in which elevated blood pressure was related with one or more of the following types of acute or ongoing target organ damage (TOD): stroke (cerebral infarction or hemorrhage); hypertensive encephalopathy; subarachnoid hemorrhage; acute pulmonary edema, acute myocardial infarction; transient ischemic attack; acute heart failure; progressive renal insufficiency as well as features suggestive of retinopathy. Hypertensive urgencies (HTN-U) are those situations associated with severe elevations in BP without progressive TOD.

Detailed history was taken and clinical examination was commenced at the time of admission and all these conditions were diagnosed clinically and by diagnostic tests as appropriate (blood and urine chemistry, lipid profile, fundus examination, ECG, chest X ray PA view). Troponin was performed when acute coronary syndrome was suspected, and computed tomography was done when brain damage

<sup>1</sup>Associate Professor, <sup>2</sup>Post Graduate Student, <sup>3</sup>Professor and Head, Department Of Medicine, Shaym Shah Medical College and Sanjay Gandhi Memorial Hospital, Rewa, Madhya Pradesh, India.

**Corresponding author:** Shivaji Thakare, Department of Medicine, Shyam Shah Medical College, Rewa, Madhya Pradesh, India 486001

**How to cite this article:** Shailendra Kumar Manjhvar, Shivaji Thakare, Hariom Gupta, Manoj Indurkar. Clinical study of hypertensive crisis in medicine ward. International Journal of Contemporary Medical Research 2017;4(11):2258-2261.

suspected, for example, during the suspicion of stroke and hypertensive encephalopathy.

The study excluded female patients presenting with preeclampsia and eclampsia, patients with valvular heart disease and patients <18 years of age. BP was measured by the standard technique in lying down as well as in standing position and average of three BP measurements obtained with a mercury sphygmomanometer. Obesity was recognized by BMI and Waist Circumference.

#### STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The obtained quantitative results were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation, while qualitative variables were expressed as numbers and percentages. Comparisons between patient's characteristics were done by unpaired t-test for quantitative variables and chi square test was used for qualitative variables.

#### RESULTS

Table 1 shows clinical and epidemiological profile of patients admitted with HTN-C, HTN-E and HTN-U. Among 200 patients HTN-C, 144 individuals (72%) were enrolled in the study according to the criteria for HTN-E and 56 (28%) were enrolled in the study according to the criteria for HTN-U.

Patients with HTN-E were older (P<0.001), more sedentary (P=0.037), more smoker (p=0.0073) and more non adherent to anti hypertensive medications (p=0.049) than those with HTN-U. Furthermore, fewer HTN-E patients than HTN-U patients had known history of hypertension (P=0.0029). There was no difference found amongs groups regarding BP levels, gender, obesity, and alcohol, known history of diabetes and family history of hypertension.

Common presenting complaint in the study group (table 2) as whole was neurological deficit which was revealed in 68 patients (34%) followed by dyspnea in 62 patients (31%), headache in 52 patients (26%), chest pain in 42 patients (21%) and other symptoms included giddiness, epistaxis, palpitation, vomiting, decreased urine output and psychomotor agitation. In HTN-E study group most common presentation was neurological deficit (47.22%) followed by dyspnea (37.5%) and chest pain (25%). Meanwhile, in the group with HTN-U patients, the most patients reported headache (44.64%) as common presentation followed by giddiness (42.86%), and epistaxis (37.5%).

Table 3 shows target organ damage in hypertensive crisis patients. Among 200 patients of HTN-C, retinopathy was found in 132 patients (66%) while, 82 patients (41%) had central nervous system involvement, with 38 patients (19%)

Variable	HTN-C (n=200)	HTN-E (n=144)	HTN-U (n=56)	P value	
Age	61±11	63±10	52±9	< 0.0001	
Male (%)	56	58.33	50	>0.05	
Sedentarism (%)	64	68.75	51.78	0.0375	
Obese (%)	64	67.36	55.53	>0.05	
Dyslipidemic (%)	42	44.45	35.41	>0.05	
Alcoholics (%)	44	47.22	35.41	>0.05	
Smokers (%)	38	43.06	25	0.0073	
Known hypertensives (%)	78	72.44	92.86	0.0029	
Non compliance (%)	27	40.38 (n=104)	23.08 (n=52)	0.09	
Known diabetics (%)	26	27.08	23.2	>0.05	
Family history (%)	28	31.95	17.86	>0.05	
SBP	$203 \pm 14$	$202 \pm 13$	201 ± 16	>0.05	
DBP	$130 \pm 6$	$130 \pm 7$	$131 \pm 5$	>0.05	
Significance was determined by $\chi^2$ test for comparison of urgencies vs emergencies					
Table 1. Clinical and enidemials sized anofile of noticets admitted with UTN C. UTN F and UTN U					

**Table-1:** Clinical and epidemiological profile of patients admitted with HTN-C, HTN-E and HTN-U

Sign and symptoms	HTN-C	HTN-E	HTN-U	P value	
	n=200	n=144	n=56		
Neurological motor deficit (%)	34%	47.22%	0%	< 0.0001	
Dyspnea (%)	31%	37.5%	14.42%	0.026	
Headache (%)	26%	18.75%	44.64%	0.0004	
Chest pain (%)	21%	25%	10.71%	0.042	
Giddiness (%)	19%	9.72%	42.86%	< 0.0001	
Epistaxis (%)	12%	2.1%	37.5%	< 0.0001	
Vomiting (%)	11%	4.2%	28.57%	< 0.0001	
Palpitation (%)	10%	6.25%	19.65%	0.01	
Decreased urine output (%)	8%	11.11%	0%	0.021	
Psychomotor agitation (%)	8%	5.56%	7.14%	>0.05	
Other (%)	8%	11.11%	0%	< 0.0001	
Asymptomatic (%)	4%	0%	14.28%	< 0.0001	
Significance was determined by $\chi^2$ test for comparison of urgencies and emergencies.					
<b>Table-2:</b> Frequency of Signs and symptoms of patients with HTN-C, HTN-E and HTN-U					

Target Organ Damage Type	No. of Cases (%) (n=200)			
Retinopathy	66			
Cerebral infarction	19			
Intracerebral hemorrhage	16			
Acute heart failure	18			
Acute coronary syndrome	16			
Transient ischemic attack	4			
Subarachnoid hemorrhage	1			
Hypertensive encephalopathy	1			
Table-3: Target Organ Damage in Hypertensive Crisis				

of cerebral infarction, 32 patients (16%) of intracerebral hemorrhage, 8 patients (4%) of transient ischemic attack and 2 patients (1%) of subarachnoid hemorrhage and hypertensive encephalopathy each. Among cardiac involvement, 36 patients (18%) had acute heart failure and 32 patients (16%) had acute coronary syndrome.

#### DISCUSSION

Hypertension is present in approximately one billion of the world population and is responsible for an average of 7. 1 million deaths annually.<sup>8</sup> The present study was carried out among 200 patients of hypertensive crisis who were admitted to the ICU. These patients were studied out of a total of 11,375 ICU admissions over a period of one year. Hence, the recorded prevalence was 1.76%.

The high prevalence rate of hypertensive crises was found by Zampaglione et al<sup>9</sup> who revealed that blood pressure was unknown at presentation in 8% of hypertensive emergencies and 28% of hypertensive urgencies. However, above mentioned studies may result from the inclusion of cases of hypertensive pseudocrisis, which may imitate hypertensive urgency and consequently, distort the final results. This fact was observed by Nobre et al<sup>10</sup> who reported that 64.5% of the hypertensive patients, characterized as having hypertensive pseudocrisis, were inappropriately treated in the emergency unit as having a hypertensive crisis.

Out of 200 patients of hypertensive crisis, 144 (72%) were hypertensive emergencies and 56 (28%) were hypertensive urgencies. While other investigators found a greater prevalence of hypertensive urgencies.<sup>11-17</sup>

In the present study, mean age for HTN-E was  $(63\pm10)$  and mean age for HTN-U was  $(52\pm9)$  (p<0.001). Patients with HE were older (P<0.001), more sedentary (P=0.037), more smoker (p=0.0073) and more non adherent to anti hypertensive medications (p=0.049) than those with HU. Furthermore, fewer HE patients than HU patients had known history of hypertension (P=0.0029). The groups did not differ regarding BP levels, gender, obesity, and alcohol, known history of diabetes and family history of hypertension.

Rodriguez et al<sup>18</sup> reported that 12.6% of patients aware of their hypertension were not taking any medication. Tisdale et al<sup>19</sup> reported that poor outpatient control of bood pressure was an independent predictor of subsequent hypertensive crisis. Katz et al<sup>20</sup> reported that 26% of patients who presented with hypertensive emergencies had either chronic or current

medication non adherence. In the prospective study by Saguner et al,<sup>21</sup> non adherence was the most important factor associated with hypertensive crisis.

The signs and symptoms presented on admission to the hospital vary according to the clinical presentation of hypertensive crisis, depending on which target organs are affected most severely. In our sample, because of the higher frequency of strokes, acute heart failure and acute coronary syndromes, the most common signs/symptoms were neurological deficit, dyspnea, headaches and chest pain. Our findings varies with other studies due to higher frequency of strokes.<sup>11-17,22</sup>

### CONCLUSION

The present study concludes that as patients with HTN-E and HTN-U differ in their mode of presentation, it may helpful for classifying HTN-C into HTN-E and HTN-U during emergency management. As patients with HTN-E were more non adherent (40%), assuring adherence to antihypertensive therapy is one of most important aspect in management of hypertensive crisis during long-term follow-up.

The early detection of multiple target organ damage and appropriate treatment are key determinants for reducing morbidity and mortality among patients of hypertensive crisis.

#### REFERENCES

- Krishnan A, Renu Garg, Kahandaliyanage A. Hypertension in the South East Asia Region: an overview. WHO Regional Health Forum. 2013; 17:10-11.
- Pacheco H G, Victorino N M, Urquiza J P, Castillo A A, Herrera U J, Mendoza A A, et al. Patients With Hypertensive Crises Who Are Admitted to a Coronary Care Unit. Clinical Characteristics and Outcomes. The Journal of Clinical Hypertension. 2013; 15: 210–214.
- Shah M, Patil S, Patel B, Arora S, Patel N, Garg L, Agrawal S, Jacobs L, Steigerwalt SP, Martinez MW. Trends in Hospitalization for Hypertensive Emergency, and Relationship of End-Organ Damage With In-Hospital Mortality. American Journal of Hypertension. 2017;30:700-6.
- 4. Mehrotra S, Ravi R Kasliwal R R. Hypertensive crisis an update. Indian Heart J. 2010; 62:440-446.
- Karnik ND, Padwal NJ. The Crisis in Hypertension. Journal of The Association of Physicians of India. 2017;65:11.
- Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, et al. Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on prevention, detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood pressure. Hypertension. 2003; 42:1206–1252.
- Elliott WJ. Clinical features and management of selected hypertensive emergencies. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich). 2004; 6:587–592.
- Salkic S, Batic-Mujanovic O, Ljuca F, Brkic S. Clinical Presentation of Hypertensive Crises in Emergency Medical Services. Materia Socio-Medica. 2014;26:12-16.
- 9. Zampaglione B, Pascale C, Marchisio M, Cavallo-Perin P. Hypertensive urgencies and emergencies. Prevalence

and clinical presentation. Hypertension 1996; 27: 144–147.

- Nobre F, Chauchar F, Viana JM, Pereira GJV, Lima NKC. Avaliação do atendimento do hipertenso em serviço de urgência e em ambulatório de hipertensão. Arq Bras Cardiol. 2002; 78: 156-8.
- Tomero EP, Alonso SJ, Laguna P. Hypertensive crises at the hospital emergency services. The SUHCRIHTA study. Emergencias 2001; 13: 82–88.
- Cerrilo MR, Herna'ndez PM, Pinilla CF, Claros NM, Otero ML. Hypertensive crises: prevalence and clinical aspects. Rev Clin Esp 2002; 202: 255–258.
- Piedra-Leo'n M, Garci'a-Go'mez MC, Mendoza NV, Ferna'ndez-Fresnedo G, Arias M. Ana' lisis cli'nico de las crisis hipertensivas tratadas en el servicio de urge^ncias de un hospital de tercer ni'vel. Hipertensio'n 2007; 24: 185–186.
- Capdevila CG, Blanco CA, Garcı'a JAM, Jordana NM. Comparacio'n entre La actuacio'n hospitalaria y La de Atencio'n Primaria ante las crisis hipertensivas. Hipertensio'n (Madr) 2008; 25: 126–128.
- Lanthier L, Malenfant L, Lacelle M-J. Characteristics of hypertensive emergencies and urgencies at Sherbrooke between 1998 and 2006. Can J Gen Intern Med 2008; 3: 38–40.
- Serrano VC, Freites GQ, Delgado LL, Garcı'a BMF. Behavior of the hypertensive crisis present in patients from NA integral diagnosis medical center. Rev Cubana Med Gen Integr 2009; 25: 129–135.
- José Fernando Vilela-Martin, Renan Oliveira Vaz-de-Melo, Cristina Hiromi Kuniyoshi, André Neder Ramires Abdo and Juan Carlos Yugar-Toledo; Hypertensive crisis: clinical–epidemiological profile. Hypertension Research 2010; 34:367–371.
- Rodríguez Cerrillo M, Mateos Hernández P, Fernández Pinilla C, Martell Claros N, Luque Otero M. Hypertensive crisis: prevalence and clinical aspects. Rev Clin Esp. 2002; 202:255–258.
- Tisdale JE, Huang MB, Borzak S. Risk factors for hypertensive crisis: importance of out-patient blood pressure control. Fam Pract 2004; 21: 420–424.
- Katz JN, Gore JM, Amin A, et al. Practice patterns, outcomes, and end- organ dysfunction for patients with acute severe hypertension: the Studying the Treatment of Acute hyperTension (STAT) registry. Am Heart J 2009; 158:599–606.
- 21. Saguner AM, Dür S, Perrig M, Schiemann U, Stuck AE, Bürgi U, Erne P, Schoenenberger AW. Risk factors promoting hypertensive crises: evidence from a longitudinal study. American journal of hypertension. 2010;23:775-80.
- 22. Shamim Ahmad Khan. A prospective study evaluating the relationship between hypertension and obesity. International Journal of Contemporary Medical Research 2017;4:1948-1951.

Source of Support: Nil; Conflict of Interest: None

Submitted: 24-10-2017; Accepted: 18-11-2017; Published: 02-11-2017