Analysis of Samples: Important Aspect of Pre-analytical Phase

Pallavi Bhatia¹, Kapil Bhatia², Manasvi Praveen Kumar³

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Among the three phases of clinical chemistry laboratory i.e. pre-analytical, analytical and post-analytical, it is the pre-analytical phase which contributes to most of the errors. Pre-analytical phase includes completion of laboratory requisition form, phlebotomy, specimen identification, sample handling and transportation to the laboratory. From various pre-analytical errors, the errors related to sample collection are very high. This study was undertaken to completely assess the sampling errors in a tertiary care teaching hospital.

Material and Methods: The study was undertaken to access the sampling errors in a biochemistry laboratory of a tertiary care hospital. It was a prospective study. Following quality indicators were assessed in the study i.e. inappropriate container, hemolysed sample, insufficient sample volume, damage to the container, improperly labeled sample and mismatch sample.

Results: Out of total 1113 samples the maximum percentage of error was seen due to improperly labeled sample accounting to 1.25%, this is followed by hemolysed sample accounting to 1.16%.

Conclusion: Our study shows that there is need to understand the importance of pre-analytical phase with special emphasis on the sample collection related errors. Sensitizing the healthcare professionals about the errors related to sample collection reduces the overall percentage of errors which has a positive impact on the health outcome of the patients.

Keywords: Preanalytical phase, Sampling error, Hemolysed sample

INTRODUCTION

One factor which is important source of medical error affecting patients safety is laboratory testing.¹⁻³ Among the three phases of clinical chemistry laboratory ie Pre-analytical, analytical and post-analytical⁴, it is the pre-analytical phase which contributes to most of the errors accounting to 68.2 %⁵ and this preanalytical phase is not under the control of clinical laboratory and the laboratory physician. Pre-analytical phase includes completion of laboratory requisition form, phlebotomy, specimen identification, sample handling and transportation to the laboratory.5-7 From various pre-analytical errors the errors related to sample collection are very high, which includes errors related to inappropriate container, hemolysed sample, sample with insufficient volume, damaged sample, improperly labeled sample and mismatch sample. As these errors exerts a powerful influence on healthcare expenditures, a proper control of these errors results in fruitful outcome not only on expenditure front but also for the patients. Hence this study was undertaken to completely assess the sampling errors in a tertiary care teaching hospital.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was undertaken to access the sampling errors in a biochemistry laboratory of a tertiary care hospital. Quality indicators developed by the International Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine (IFCC) Working Group on Laboratory Errors and Patient Safety (WG-LEPS) were used.⁸⁻¹⁰ It was a prospective study. The study was conducted in Biochemistry laboratory of Bharati Hospital and Research Centre, Pune. Duration of the study was between 29/09/2015 to 12/10/2015 and all the OPD forms coming to the biochemistry laboratory between 09:00 AM to 04:00PM were included. Institutional ethical committee clearance was accorded to the study. Following quality indicators were assessed in the study i.e. inappropriate container, hemolysed sample, insufficient sample volume, damage to the container, improperly labeled sample and mismatch sample.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The information provided on laboratory requisition form was recorded on day to day basis in Microsoft Excel spread sheet windows 7 and evaluated using software package used for statistical analysis (SPSS) version 21. The results were interpreted as percentages, Defects per million (DPM), Sigma value and Sigma based performance level.

Calculation of performance as per sigma metrics -

 $DPM = (number of errors \times 10,00,000)/total number of specimens$

The DPM rate was converted to a sigma value based on calculators available online (http://www. westgard.com/six-sigma-calculators-2.htm.)

Performance levels based on the sigma metrics evaluation were used to compare our laboratory results

- 1. Very good: \geq 5.0 sigma
- 2. Good: 4.0-<5.0 sigma
- 3. Minimum: 3.0-<4.0sigma
- 4. Unacceptable: <3.0 sigma

RESULTS

Total 1113 samples were assessed during the duration of the study. The maximum percentage of error was seen due to improperly labeled sample accounting to 1.25%, this is followed by hemolysed sample accounting to 1.16 %.DPM value and

¹Assistant Manager, Quality Assurance, Rao Nursing Home, ²Assistant Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Armed Forces Medical College, ³Assistant Professor,Center for Health Management Studies and Research, Bharati Vidyapeeth Deemed University, Pune, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding author: Dr. Kapil Bhatia, Assistant Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Diamond Jubliee Block, Armed Forces Medical College, Sholapur Road, Pune. Pin Code-411040, Maharashtra, India

How to cite this article: Pallavi Bhatia, Kapil Bhatia, Manasvi Praveen Kumar. Analysis of samples: important aspect of pre-analytical phase. International Journal of Contemporary Medical Research 2017;4(1):68-70.

S.	Quality Indicator	Total no of	Total no of	Error in	DPM Value	Sigma Value	Sigma based
No.		samples	errors	percentage			performance level
1	Inappropriate container	1113	2	0.17%	1797	4.5	Good
2	Hemolysed	1113	13	1.16%	11680	3.8	Minimum
3	Insufficient volume	1113	9	0.80%	8086	4	Good
4	Damaged	1113	0	0	0	>5	Very good
5	Improperly labeled	1113	14	1.25%	12579	3.8	Minimum
6	Mismatch sample	1113	0	0	0	>5	Very good
Table-1: Total errors as per the Quality indicators related to Blood Sample							



Table-1: Total errors as per the Quality indicators related to Blood Sample

sigma value for improperly labeled sample was 12579 and 3.8 respectively.DPM value and sigma value for hemolysed sample was 11680 and 3.8 respectively as shown in Table 1 and Figure 1.

Besides the percentage error, DPM value, Sigma value and Sigma based performance were calculated as shown in the table.

DISCUSSION

There is ever increasing demand for reliability and accuracy of the laboratory tests. The various factors contributing to the accurate test results can be divided into three phases: preanalytical, analytical and post analytical. Among the three phases the major contributor to the errors in the result is the pre-analytical phase and to reduce the number of errors in the pre-analytical phase, particular attention must be provided to this phase.

The present study is an attempt to find out the frequency of various sample related errors in the biochemistry laboratory. The maximum percentage of error in our study was seen due to improperly labeled sample accounting to 1.25%, this is followed by hemolysed sample accounting to 1.16 %. The DPM value and sigma value of improperly labeled sample is 12579 and 3.8 respectively. Improperly labeled sample results in repetition in sample collection which delays the generation of the report which can be critical in medical emergencies. This is in contrast to the study conducted by Makubi et al¹¹ which showed improperly labeled samples to be as high as 82.2 %. Haslina et al¹² showed inappropriately labeled specimen in 66.3 % and study done by Raji et al¹³ showed 31.5 % cases to be improperly labeled. In our hospital OPD phlebotomy is done by highly trained phlebotomist who are continuously educated to reduce the errors related to sampling and this can be the reason for very low frequency of improperly labeled specimen.

Hemolysed sample accounted to second most common cause of error in our study, which can occur when blood is collected with excessive aspiration force, is rapidly forced through a large bore needle, is collected before the disinfectant has evaporated from the skin, when the blood containing tube is shaken vigorously and when the specimen is centrifuged before clotting process is complete. Hemolysis has always plagued clinical laboratories with prevalence as high as 3.3 %.14 Hemolysis could cause chemical, biological, immunological interference with reaction mechanism of several assays.15 Hemolysed samples if processed then results do not correlate with patient's condition. This will lead to rerun of the test thus not only increasing the burden of the laboratory but also increases the finances involved. This further leads to delayed dispatch of the laboratory reports which is important especially in emergency medical conditions. The total error due to hemolysis in our study was 1.16 %. The DPM value and sigma value for hemolysed sample is 11680 and 3.8 respectively. This is in agreement with the study conducted by Sampath et al¹⁶ which showed hemolysis in 0.34 % samples in NABL accredited laboratory and with Sujitha et al¹⁷ which showed hemolysis in 0.825 % cases.

The errors related to inappropriate container in our study was 0.17 % as compared to study by Sujitha et al¹⁷ which showed that none of the sample was collected in inappropriate container. Insufficient volume of blood also leads to recollection of blood which is a problem in neonates and very old age group individuals. This further delays the dispatch of the laboratory reports. Errors related to insufficient volume in our study is 0.80 % which is in comparison to Sujitha et al study¹⁷ showing insufficient volume error to be 0.152 %.

CONCLUSION

The results of laboratory testing adds to the clinical decision making which finally predicts the patient's outcome. As with more stringent steps in analytical phase, the pre-analytical phase should equally be given the due importance. Our study shows that there is need to understand the importance of pre-analytical phase with special emphasis on the sample collection related errors. Sensitizing the healthcare professionals about the errors related to sample collection reduces the overall percentage of errors which has a positive impact on the health outcome of the patients.

REFERENCES

- Plebani M. Exploring the iceberg of errors in laboratory medicine. Clin Chim Acta. 2009;404:16-23.
- 2. Da Rin G. Pre-analytical workstations: A tool for reducing laboratory errors. Clin Chim Acta. 2009;404:68-74.
- Piva E, Plebani M. Interpretative reports and critical values. Clin Chim Acta. 2009;404:52-8.
- Plebani M. Towards quality specifications in extraanalytical phases of laboratory activity (Editorial). Clin

Chem Lab Med. 2004;42:576-577.

- Plebani M. Errors in clinical laboratories or errors in laboratory medicine?. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2006;44:750-759.
- 6. Plebani M, Carraro P. Mistakes in a stat laboratory: types and frequency.Clin Chem. 1997;43:1348-1351.
- Laposata M, Dighe A. "Pre-pre" and "post-post" analytical error: high-incidence patient safety hazards involving the clinical laboratory. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2007;45:712-719.
- Sciacovelli L, Plebani M. The IFCC working group on laboratory errors and patient safety. Clin Chim Acta. 2009;404:79-85.
- Plebani M, Sciacovelli L, Lippi G. Quality indicators for laboratory diagnostics: consensus is needed. Ann Clin Biochem. 2011;48:479.
- Sciacovelli L, O'Kane M, Skaik YA, Caciaqli P,Pelleqrini C,Da Rin G et al. Quality indicators in laboratory medicine: from theory to practice. Preliminary data from the IFCC Working Group Project "Laboratory Errors and Patient Safety". Clin Chem Lab Med. 2011;49:835-844.
- Makubi AN, Meda C, Magesa A, Minja P, Mlalasi J, Salum Z et al. Audit of clinical-laboratory practices in hematology and blood transfusion at Muhimbili National Hospital in Tanzania. Tazan J Health Res. 2012;14:257-62.
- Haslina MN, Shafini MY, Roshan B, Marini R, Salamah S, Fakhri MA. An audit on near-miss events in transfusion medicine: The experience of the teaching hospital in Northeastern Malaysia. J Transfus. 2011;2011.Article ID 963090,4pages.
- Raji MA, Fadeyibi IO, Ibrahim NA, Obe O. Evaluation of microbiology request forms at a tertiary health institution in Lagos, Nigeria; an audit of incomplete filling of forms and the impact on results. Niger Med Pract. 2013;63:5-6.
- Carraro P,Servidio G, Plebani M.Hemolyzed specimens:a reason for rejection or a clinical challenge? Clin Chem. 2000;46:306-7.
- Guder WG, Fonseca-Wollheim Fd, Heil W, Schmitt YM, Topfer G. The hemolytic, icteric and lipemic sample. Recommendations regarding their recognition and prevention of clinically relevant interferences. J Lab Med. 2000;24:357-364.
- Sampath S, Bhatia K, Batra HS. Analysis of various errors in receipt of samples in the Biochemistry laboratory of a Tertiary Care Hospital. IJBAMR. 2016;5:280-284.
- Sujitha N, Prakash VR. A study of Preanalytical errors in the clinical biochemistry laboratory of a medical college hospital. Int J Pharm Bio Sci. 2016;7:503-506.

Source of Support: Nil; Conflict of Interest: None

Submitted: 15-12-2016; Published online: 25-01-2017