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ABSTRACT
Introduction: Now a days propofol is being used very frequently for induction of general anaesthesia but often results in pain on injection, which is sometimes very painful which may cause discomfort to patient. This randomized clinical study was performed to evaluate the effects of intravenous nitroglycerine on pain severity in patients undergoing propofol injection.

Material and Methods: In this randomized control study, 100 patients with ASA class I or II, aged 18-50 years undergoing anaesthesia with propofol injection were selected for the study. Patients were randomly assigned to case (Group A) and control (Group B) groups and received either 20μg five millilitre (ml) of nitroglycerine or 0.9% (five ml) normal saline as placebo respectively. The severity of injection pain was assessed using a four-point scale.

Results: The pain severity in nitroglycerine group was significantly lower as compared with the placebo group (P<0.001). The homodynamic parameters showed no significant difference between two groups before and after the induction of anaesthesia.

Conclusion: Nitroglycerine when given intravenously before induction with propofol, causes significant pain reduction. It is safe and without side effects so it can be used for reduction of pain caused by propofol injection.
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INTRODUCTION
Since the introduction of propofol in 1977, it has been widely used as an intravenous anaesthetic agent but it causes severe pain on injection specially if given in a small vein.¹⁻⁴ Many pharmacological and non pharmacological methods have been used to prevent this pain.⁵⁻¹⁴ The objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of nitroglycerine on pain severity in patients undergoing propofol induction.

MATERIAL AND METHOD
This randomized control study was conducted in department of anaesthesiology and critical care, Rohilkhand medical college and hospital. The sample size of 70 was calculated with the help of following formula:

\[
\text{Sample Size} = \frac{Z^2(p)(1-p)}{c^2}
\]

Where \(Z = Z\) value (e.g., 1.96 for 95% confidence level), \(p=\) percentage picking a choice, expressed as decimal (0.5 used for sample size needed), \(c = \) confidence interval, expressed as decimal, (e.g., 0.04 = ± 4). To be more precise, the final sample size was decided to be 100 (50 in each group). A total 115 patients of American Society of Anaesthesiologist (ASA) class I and II, age between 18 to 50 years, were selected from surgery department posted for surgical procedures under general anaesthesia. 15 of these patients were excluded according to exclusion criteria and the remaining 100 patients were randomly selected. None of the participants had any history of receiving analgesia or sedation within last 24 hours. None of the selected patients had contraindications for nitroglycerine use. Informed written consent was obtained from all participants. Patients were randomly allocated to case (Group A) and control (Group B) groups using the computer generated random number table. Without any premedication after the applying of electrocardiogram, non-invasive blood pressure (systolic, diastolic and mean arterial pressure) and pulse oximetry for monitoring, two 20G cannulas were inserted into the dorsal vein of both hands. The right one was used for infusion of intravenous fluids and the left one was used for drug administration. Infusion of ringer lactate was started from right arm. Patients in the Group A received 20μg of nitroglycerine, diluted in five mL of 0.9% saline from the left arm in five seconds. We choose 20μg of nitroglycerine to achieve our goal, the local effects of nitroglycerine without any sever hemodynamic
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effect such as sever tachycardia and hypotension. In many previous studies on nitroglycerine dosage, using 20μg of nitroglycerine intravenously did not cause any severe homodynamic effects. Patients in the control group received five mL of 0.9% saline from left arm in five seconds. Propofol bolus in dose of 1.5mg/kg was given after 20 seconds which was kept at room temperature which was administered over a period of five seconds. Any behavioural signs such as facial grimacing, arm withdrawal or tears were noted and recorded by the anaesthesiologist. Then pain on injection was assessed using a four-point scale as used by Pooya Derakhshan et al.: ‘zero’ for no pain, ‘one’ for mild pain (pain only in response to questioning and without any behavioural signs), ‘two’ for moderate pain (pain reported spontaneously without questioning), and ‘three’ for severe pain (strong vocal response or response accompanied by facial grimacing, arm withdrawal, or tears). For muscle relaxation, we used vecuronium bromide (0.12mg/kg). Systolic and diastolic blood pressures and heart rate were recorded 30 seconds later. Oral intubation of the trachea was done three minutes after administration of vecuronium. Anaesthesia was continued with 30% nitrous oxide and 0.4% isoflurane. Within recovery period, the left hand was examined for pain, oedema, or other reactions by the anaesthesiologist. The age, sex, weight, homodynamic status (systolic and diastolic blood pressures and heart rate), drug adverse effects and pain severity were the study variables. Data was analyzed using SPSS 18.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois, United States). Differences were tested by independent-sample t test, Fisher exact test, and Chi square test and were considered statistically significant at P-value < 0.05.

RESULTS

Table one shows that two groups were comparable in the terms of Age, Gender, Weight and ASA Grade as shown in the above table and no statistically significant difference was found, p-value > 0.05.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (Years)</td>
<td>35.58±12.74</td>
<td>41.9±14.09</td>
<td>0.582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (Kg)</td>
<td>56.1±8.85</td>
<td>56.7±9.10</td>
<td>0.750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (M/F)</td>
<td>22/28</td>
<td>25/25</td>
<td>0.361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA (II)</td>
<td>36/14</td>
<td>39/11</td>
<td>0.320</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-1: Demographic data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hemodynamic variables</th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SBP before induction mm Hg</td>
<td>124.06±13.08</td>
<td>118.42±6.88</td>
<td>0.707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP after induction mm Hg</td>
<td>109.82±15.43</td>
<td>115.24±14.81</td>
<td>0.123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP before induction mm Hg</td>
<td>76.92±9.15</td>
<td>79.42±10.26</td>
<td>0.814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP after induction mm Hg</td>
<td>61.82±6.28</td>
<td>89.04±8.63</td>
<td>0.134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (beats/minute) before induction</td>
<td>87.22±10.12</td>
<td>84.32±7.77</td>
<td>0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (beats/minute) after induction</td>
<td>93.06±10.12</td>
<td>99.62±8.57</td>
<td>0.758</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. SBP=systolic blood pressure, 2. DBP=diastolic blood pressure, 3. HR=heart rate

Table-2: Hemodynamic status

DISCUSSION

The cause of the pain felt on intravenous injection of various drugs is not known. Klement and Arndt have suggested that it may be due to unphysiologic osmolality or pH of their formulation, but this should not apply to the commercially available solution of propofol which has near physiologic pH and osmolality. Scott et al. have suggested that pain may be induced by a kinin cascade, although they base their theory not on any specific physiologic data but only on the observation that there can be a delayed onset to the pain. Our data confirm the findings of others that the onset of pain may be either immediate or delayed. Like others, we also were able to demonstrate good correlation between pain felt at the time of injection and later recall. Hiroshi et al. have suggested that pain on propofol injection is due to presence of long and medium chain triglycerides.

Our results show that pain on injection of propofol can be reduced by giving injection nitroglycerine 20μg, 20 seconds before injection of propofol. It was investigated in 100 patients of ASA classes I and II. The patients in group A developed less or no pain during the propofol injection which can be explained by the fact that released nitroglycerine (NO), activates soluble guanylyl cyclase and produces cyclic GMP from guanosine triphosphate in smooth muscle cells. Accumulation of cyclic GMP activates cyclic GMP-dependent protein kinase, which is involved in the opening of adenosine triphosphate (ATP)-sensitive K+ channel, to produce spinal or peripheral antinociception and in Na+/K-ATPase activation. According to Mixcoatl-Zecuatl T et al. nitroglycerine also results in vasodilatation, decreased vascular resistance, lower blood pressure, inhibition of platelet aggregation and adhesion, inhibition of leukocyte adhesion and transmigration, and reduced vascular smooth muscle proliferation. Nitric oxide has an important role in afferent signalling of pain through the dorsal horn of the spinal cord and in autonomic control through nitricergic innervation. Release of NO from the peripheral endings of spinal afferents can stimulate many of their homeostatic actions. Moreover, NO generators have
However, O’hara et al. demonstrated that in comparison to nitroglycerine, and magnesium sulphate were consecutively the most effective drugs in attenuating pain of intravenously injected propofol. Lohmann et al. demonstrated dilation of the vein by more than 50% in over half the subjects treated with nitroglycerine ointment within 15 min of application. Pooya et al. also concluded that nitroglycerine may be a safe and effective adjuvant for pain reduction in patients under propofol injection.

Although premedication has not been shown to reduce the incidence of painful injection with propofol, it can reduce its severity. In our practice we have observed that patients who are anxious and have cold venoconstricted hands appear more likely to complain of painful injection. It is this group of anxious, unpremedicated patients who are most likely to receive propofol, which is widely used in ambulatory surgery. To assess the effectiveness of nitroglycerine in reducing propofol injection pain relative to other techniques, we compared our results with previous studies. The results of these studies are not directly comparable because, for example, there are differences in the percentage of placebo patients feeling no pain in the different studies. The patient populations are likely to be different, and some studies include premedication whereas others do not. However, our results indicate that a comparably high percentage of treated patients do not feel pain on injection with propofol. Further studies are required to directly compare the efficacy of nitroglycerine with that of lidocaine.

**CONCLUSION**

The use of intravenous nitroglycerine injection may improve analgesic effects without any sever hemodynamic consequences and additional adverse effects. Hence, its use to reduce the propofol injection-induced pain can be recommended.
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