ABSTRACT

Introduction: Before 1980, needle stick injury prevention was not as much of an issue (pre-AIDS). In the late 1980’s the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) introduced “Universal Precautions” to protect healthcare workers from blood borne pathogens. In 1991, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) published its rule “Occupational Exposure to Blood borne Pathogens” to further protect healthcare workers. While as many as 20 blood borne pathogens can be transmitted through accidental NSI’s, the potentially life threatening are HIV, hepatitis B virus (HBV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV). Objectives is to know the knowledge, awareness, and how they are practicing about needle stick injuries among medical faculty, PG, intern, nursing staff, lab technicians and class IV.

Material and Methods: A cross sectional study with sample size of 153 was conducted for a period of one month (Dec 15-Jan 14). Each respondent were interviewed with pretested and semi structured questionnaire.

Results: 21.6% are medical faculty, 24.2% were post graduates, 3.9% were interns, 24.2% were nurses, 13.07% were lab technicians and 13.07% were class IV employees. 99.2% class IV employees said they have no idea. 25.2% of medical faculty, 28.2% of PG’s, 4.6% of interns, 26.7% of nurses, 14.5% of lab technicians said needle prick transmits HIV. 27.7% of medical faculty, 30.2% of post graduates, said hepatitis-B, 9.09% of total said tetanus, 50% of nurses and lab technicians said tuberculosis. 22.5% had prick before use, 33.4% had during use, 9.09% of total said tetanus, 50% of nurses and lab technicians said tuberculosis. 22.5% had prick before use, 33.4% had during use, 17.1% had after use and before disposal, 3.6% had when concealed in bed Lenin, 15.3% had while recapping and 8.1% had while cleaning.

Conclusions: 86.3% are aware of needle stick injuries transmits diseases. Whereas only class IV (75%) are unaware that diseases are transmitted through needle stick injury. Nurse’s (32%) are more prone for needle prick when compared to other group.
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INTRODUCTION

A needle stick injury is the penetration of skin through a needle or other sharp object, which were in contact with blood, tissue, or other body fluid before penetration. NSIs are associated with various health hazards for HCWs; the most important of which is the risk of getting fatal diseases such as Hepatitis B and C (HBV, HCV) and Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV), Zika. Transmission of at least 20 different pathogens by injuries due needle sticks has been reported. The risk after percutaneous exposure varies. which can be 30% HBV, 3-4% for HCV, 0.3% for HIV. Approximately 350 million people are lifelong carriers of HBV due to this exposure and 170 million are HCV carriers in the world. And as on December 2006, according to UNAIDS 39 million people worldwide are living with HIV.

Needle stick injuries contaminated with dried blood on the needles also causes infection specially HBV. HIV and HCV infection occurs with fresh blood only. Very few reports on NSIs reports are available in India.

Objective of the research was to know the knowledge, awareness, and how they are practicing about needle stick injuries among medical faculty, PG, interns, nursing staff, lab technicians and class IV.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

It’s a cross sectional study with study sample 153. Study was conducted for a period of one month (15th December 2014-14th January 2015). Each respondent were interviewed with pretested and semi structured questioner.

RESULTS

99.2% class IV employees said they have no idea. 25.2% of medical faculty, 28.2% of PG’s, 4.6% of interns, 26.7% of nurses, 14.5% of lab technicians said needle stick transmits HIV. 27.7% of medical faculty, 30.2% of post graduates, said hepatitis-B, 9.09% of total said tetanus, 50% of nurses and lab technicians said tuberculosis. 47.6% of medical faculty, 41.9% of PG’s, 50% of interns, 3.9% were interns, 24.2% were nurses, 13.07% were lab technicians and class IV.
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A study conducted by Janine Jagger et al. found that 22.5% of patients had a needle prick before use, 33.4% had a needle prick during use, and 34.3% had a needle prick after use. In a study conducted by Rahul Sharma et al., one third of the injuries were related to recapping. HCWs also reported that they bent the needles before disposal. 75% of class IV got prick while cleaning. In a study conducted by Alison E. Heald et al., 35 of 61 (57%) surgical residents, while recapping needles was the cause in 36 of 96 (38%) non-surgical residents. 11.5% of medical faculty, 16.2% of P.G’s, 5.4% of nurses had many times needle prick. 24.2% of medical faculty, 16.2% of P.G’s, 35.1% of nurses, 20% of lab technicians and class IV employees had 2 to 5 times needle prick. In a study conducted by Wikicers et al. study, 31.5% (n = 503/1598) of participating healthcare workers had sustained at least one needle stick injury. In a study conducted by Rahul Sharma et al. 79.5% of the workers reported having received a NSI in their career, which is a concerning number. Needle stick injuries indeed are among the most important occupational injuries for nurses. The reported incidence of NSIs in USA is 49% in nurses 10% in physicians. A study in rural North India too had found a similar prevalence of NSI even in working lifetime to be 73%. 22.5% had a needle prick before use, 33.4% had during use, 17.1% had after use and before disposal, 3.6% had when concealed in bed Lenin, 15.3% had while recapping and 8.1% had while cleaning. In a study conducted by Janine Jagger et al. One third of the injuries were related to recapping. In a study conducted by Fredrich M et al. recapping of used needles, cleaning after patient care were related to about 13% of the injuries each. Several other studies too have consistently found that a very high proportion of HCWs have received needle stick injuries while performing their work, both in India and internationally. In a study conducted by Muralidhar et al. The practice of recapping needles after use was still prevalent among HCWs (66.3%). Some HCWs also revealed that they bent the needles before discarding (11.4%). Competing hazards were often cited as reasons for recapping. In a study conducted by Janine Jagger et al. One third of the injuries were related to recapping. According to a study conducted in Mulago, national referral hospital in Kampala, Uganda, the most important risk factors were recapping needles and handling needles without using gloves. A study carried out at Aga Khan Hospital, Pakistan which reported that more than half of the injuries (52.8%) occurred while drawing the blood samples or injecting the medicine.

In present study 99.2% class IV employees said they have no idea. 25.2% of medical faculty, 28.2% of P.G’s, 4.6% of interns, 26.7% of nurses, 14.5% of lab technicians said needle prick transmits HIV. 77% of medical faculty, 30.2% of post graduates, said hepatits-B, 9.09% of total said tetanus, 50% of nurses and lab technicians said tuberculosis. The KAP study at Aga Khan Hospital, Karachi in which overall knowledge regarding the potential transmission of Hepatitis B, C and HIV was high among the participants. 47.6% of medical faculty, 41.9% of P.G’s, 50% of interns, 34.3% of nurses and 10% of lab technicians got needle stick injury. In a study conducted by Alison E. Heald et al. Out of 221 respondents, 57 (26%) reported never having had a needle stick, while 164 (74%) reported at least one needle stick injury with a suture or hollow-bore needle. The predominance of injuries among nurses is a common feature in studies around the world. 13-24 14.3% of medical faculty, 29.03% of P.G’s, 28.6% of nurses, 30% of lab technicians got needle stick before use. 50% of lab technicians got needle stick after use and before disposal. 75% of class IV got needle stick while cleaning. In a study conducted by Alison E. Heald et al. 50 of 61 (57%) surgical residents, while recapping needles was the cause in 36 of 96 (38%) non-surgical residents. 15.1% of medical faculty, 16.2% of P.G’s, 5.4% of nurses had many times needle prick. 24.2% of medical faculty, 16.2% of P.G’s, 35.1% of nurses, 20% of lab technicians and class IV employees had 2 to 5 times needle prick. In a study conducted by Wikicers et al. study, 31.5% (n = 503/1598) of participating healthcare workers had sustained at least one needle stick injury. In a study conducted by Rahul Sharma et al. 79.5% of the workers reported having received a NSI in their career, which is a concerning number. Needle stick injuries indeed are among the most important occupational injuries for nurses. The reported incidence of NSIs in USA is 49% in nurses 10% in physicians. A study in rural North India too had found a similar prevalence of NSI even in working lifetime to be 73%. 22.5% had a needle prick before use, 33.4% had during use, 17.1% had after use and before disposal, 3.6% had when concealed in bed Lenin, 15.3% had while recapping and 8.1% had while cleaning. In a study conducted by Janine Jagger et al. One third of the injuries were related to recapping. In a study conducted by Fredrich M et al. recapping of used needles, cleaning after patient care were related to about 13% of the injuries each. Several other studies too have consistently found that a very high proportion of HCWs have received needle stick injuries while performing their work, both in India and internationally. In a study conducted by Muralidhar et al. The practice of recapping needles after use was still prevalent among HCWs (66.3%). Some HCWs also revealed that they bent the needles before discarding (11.4%). Competing hazards were often cited as reasons for recapping. In a study conducted by Janine Jagger et al. One third of the injuries were related to recapping. According to a study conducted in Mulago, national referral hospital in Kampala, Uganda, the most important risk factors were recapping needles and handling needles without using gloves. A study carried out at Aga Khan Hospital, Pakistan which reported that more than half of the injuries (52.8%) occurred while drawing the blood samples or injecting the medicine.
In a study conducted by et.al has revealed that after getting stuck by a contaminated needle 92% of the nurses cleaned the wound with a spirit swab, 87% washed the area with soap and water and 75% applied a readily available bandage. In another study it is reported that needle stick injuries occurred during all work shifts and all the nurses self-treat and self-medicated their wounds while a small minority consulted the physicians. 10 In a study conducted by Rahal sharma et.al study while 60.9% washed the site of injury with water and soap, a matter of concern is that 14.8% did nothing following their most recent NSI Very few of the NSIs get reported to the health care system. In a study conducted by Alison E. Heald et.al Only 30 (19%) of 157 injuries were reported to the personnel health service.

CONCLUSION

In our study 86.3 % are aware of needle stick injuries transmits diseases. Whereas only class IV (75%) are unaware that diseases are transmitted through needle stick injury. Nurse’s (32%) are more prone for needle prick when compare to other group. 34% have experienced NSI during use. 0.6% of nurses and L.T said TB can be transmitted through needle prick. 95% of Class IV staff are unaware of preventive and prophylaxis measures. 31.4% said HIV and 27.4% said hepatitis can be prevented by prophylaxis. 89.7% are aware, but only 11.7% have taken prophylaxis for needle prick. 92% took prophylaxis on doctor’s advice. 44% know about prophylaxis is known to most through doctors and 30% through books. CRRI’s and nurses had blood test after one day where as doctors and PGs had done after one week.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Health education should be given to nurses, L.T and class IV staff repeatedly and up dated periodically for prophylactic measures to be taken while handling hospital waste or needle stick usage. Regular CME’s should be conducted to doctors, PGs, and CRRI for adaptation of prophylactic measures. Following risk assessment, the appropriate use of personal protective equipment (PPE) is advised at all times when sharps injuries might occur. Actions can be taken to prevent avoidable sharps injuries (e.g. no reshathing of needles, disposal of sharps at point of use, appropriate use of approved puncture-resistant sharps containers). Conduct a rigorous evaluation of needlestick-prevention devices to determine their effectiveness, acceptability to practitioners, impact on patient care and cost benefit prior to widespread introduction. To prevent avoidable sharps injuries, healthcare workers are advised not to reheat needles. Used sharps should be disposed of immediately after use to eliminate the risk of potential injury.
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