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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Diabets mellitus (DM) is most commonly associated with diabetic nephropathy (DMN) but these patients can manifest with wide spectrum of non-diabetic renal disease (NDRD). These NDRD includes both glomerular and tubulointerstitial lesions. This differentiation helps in predicting the prognosis and management of these condition which are completely different and hence results in better care of these patients.

Materials and Methods: 70 patients with DM who underwent renal biopsy were analyzed prospectively.

Results: The mean age was 42 ± 10.2 years. Male:female was 5:1, DMN patients had longer duration of DM compared to NDRD and combined group. 83% of study group had hypertension. Diabetic retinopathy was seen in 48% of DMN where as in 19% in NDRD. Mean creatinine was higher in NDRD compared to other group. Hematuria was seen in 61.9% of NDRD and 11.1% of DMN patient. Nephrotic range proteinuria was common in DMN when compared to NDRD group. Serum albumin was lower in DMN compared to other group. In DMN Group HBA1c was higher and hyperlipedima was more common.

Conclusion: Biopsy findings showed DMN in 42%, NDRD in 38% and 20% had combined DMN and NDRD. Chronic tubulointerstital disease was the commonest NDRD and PIGN was the commonest isolated glomerular disease, among combined disease IgA nephropathy was the commonest. Among DMN patient who clinically presented as rapidly progressive renal failure, they were in stage 111 or 1V DMN and IFTA scoring was higher in them.
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INTRODUCTION

A wide spectrum of non-diabetic renal disease (NDRD) are reported in patients with DM and their precise diagnosis requires kidney biopsy.

We carried out this study to find the clinical, laboratory, and pathological features of NDRD in DM patients and also to see any significant differences in clinical profile between the NDRD and Diabetic nephropathy (DMN) groups.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

All DM (defined by the American Diabetes Association) patients who underwent renal biopsy were analyzed prospectively. Seventy patients were included in the study. Data were collected from inpatient file, monitor sheets, histopathological reports.

Inclusion Criteria

• Persistent hematuria that is >3 RBC (red blood cell/high power field) in more than three urine examination, rapidly progressive renal failure (RPRF), that is worsening of GFR over a short period not explainable in DMN.
• Sudden onset of nephrotic syndrome (NS), that is no progression from micro albuminuria, sub nephrotic proteinuria and then nephrotic proteinuria.
• Asymptomatic urinary abnormalities in the absence of diabetic retinopathy (DR), and other microvascular disease.
• Renal insufficiency of unexplained origin.
• Impaired renal function with no proteinuria.
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• Short duration of diabetes.
• Normal serum albumin.

Exclusion Criteria
• Transplant patients and patients with lack of adequate clinical data were excluded.
• DMN was considered obvious and renal biopsy deferred if patients had a long history of diabetes with severe signs of multi-organ involvement such as retinopathy and other microvascular diseases.

Renal biopsy was done using an automated biopsy gun. Renal tissue was processed for light microscopy analysis after using Hematoxylin and eosin, periodic acid schiff and Jones silver methenamine staining. Immunofluorescence was also done on these tissues. The biopsies were reported by the pathologist.

Based on the biopsy findings, patients were categorized as:
• Isolated DMN [group I]
• Isolated NDRD [group II]
• NDRD with underlying DMN [group III]

Diabetic nephropathy was diagnosed by the presence of mesangial expansion, with or without the nodular Kimmelstiel–Wilson (KW) Formation, basement membrane thickening, fibrin caps, or capsular drops and classified as per RPS Classification. Vascular changes of diabetic nephropathy and tubule interstitial changes were also included in the pathological description.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
Chi-square/Fisher Exact test has been used to infer the results.

Table-1: Glomerular disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary Glomerular disease</th>
<th>Group II (n=27)</th>
<th>Group III (n=14)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. PIGN (Post infectious glomerulonephritis)</td>
<td>7(25.9%)</td>
<td>2(14.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. IgA Nephropathy</td>
<td>2(7.4%)</td>
<td>3(21.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. FSGS (Focal segmental glomerulosclerosis)</td>
<td>2(7.4%)</td>
<td>1(7.14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Membranous glomerulopathy</td>
<td>2(7.4%)</td>
<td>2(14.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Renal limited crescentic glomerulonepritis</td>
<td>2(7.4%)</td>
<td>2(14.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Secondary glomerular disease</th>
<th>Group II</th>
<th>Group III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6. Lupus Nephritis</td>
<td>1(3.7%)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-2: Tubulo interstitial disease in NDRD isolated (group II) and combined group (group III)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tubulointerstitial disease</th>
<th>Group II (%)</th>
<th>Group III (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CTID</td>
<td>6(22.2%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATN</td>
<td>3(11.1%)</td>
<td>2(14.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIN</td>
<td>2(7.4%)</td>
<td>2(14.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-3: Glomerular disease

Significant figures:
• Suggestive significance (P value: 0.05<P<0.10)
• Moderately significant (P value: 0.01<P ≤ 0.05)
• Strongly significant (P value: P<0.01).

The procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institution.

RESULTS
Clinical syndromes of presentation were as follows: Acute Kidney Injury [22.9%], Chronic Kidney Disease [32.9%], Rapidly Progressive Renal Failure [11.4%], Nephritic syndrome [14.3%], Nephrotic syndrome [27.1%], Asymptomatic urinary abnormalities [12.9%] like hematuria and proteinuria without any clinical manifestation.

Indication of Renal Biopsy
In Maximum number of patients the indication for renal biopsy was persistent hematuria, normal fundus and rapid worsening of creatinine.

Biopsy Findings
DMN (group I) seen in 29 patients (42%), NDRD (group II) seen in 27 patients (38%), DMN and NDRD (group III) seen in 14 patients (20%).

42% patients had only diabetic nephropathy, most patients with diabetic nephropathy who had rapidly worsening renal failure and that was the indication of renal failure in these patients had advanced glomerulosclerosis, severe grade of tubular atrophy and interstitial fibrosis and hyalinosis and atherosclerosis of vessels.

Biopsy Findings In both NDRD & Combined (DMN & NDRD)
Among the biopsy proven NDRD [27 patients], commonest glomerular disease was PIGN (25.9%), Among combined disease IgA nephropathy (21.4%) was the commonest glomerular disease Chronic tubule interstitial disease (22.2%) was the commonest tubulo interstitial disease. Among DMN patient who clinically pre-
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accounted for 59% patients. Premlata et al found Mn as the most common pathologic change. An Iraq study reported MPGN (40%) as the commonest followed by focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS) (20%), MN (25%), MCD (10%), and amyloidosis (5%). In a study from Italy where Mazzucco et al. analyzed kidney biopsies of 393 patients of type 2 DM observed MN (28.4%) as the most common glomerular disease followed by IgAN (22%), MCD/FSGS (20%), and PIGN (10.1%).

CKD, Nephrotic syndrome and AKI were the most frequent clinical presentation in our study. This is agreeing with majority of the published studies. From the review of literatures, it is obvious that presenting syndrome can be different. Mak et al. Dakshinamurthy et al, and Lee et al. observed microscopic hematuria as an important predictor of NDRD. The frequency of hematuria in the present study is more in the NDRD (60% vs 10.%) group. Controversy exists with regards to absence of DR (diabetic retinopathy) as an indicator for NDRD. According to Pham et al., absence of DR is a predictor of NDRD.

This study focused on clinical spectrum of NDRD in DM and addressed following issues:

- Prevalence of NDRD
- Clinical features of NDRD
- Pathological lesions of NDRD
- Biochemical variation in NDRD
- Renal-retinal relationship in Type 2 DM

CONCLUSION

Kidney biopsy helps to differentiate DMN, NDRD and combined DMN and NDRD in diabetic patients, which also has prognostic and therapeutic importance.
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